VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF MINES, MINERALS AND ENERGY VIRGINIA GAS AND OIL BOARD HEARING

Tuesday, September 20, 2016

Lebanon, Virginia

BOARD MEMBERS:

Bradley C. Lambert – Chairman

Bill Harris – Public Representative

Bruce Prather – Oil and Gas Industry Representative

Donnie Ratliff – Coal Industry Representative

Mary Quillen – Public Member

Rita Surratt – Public Member

APPEARANCES:

Rita Surratt – Public Member for Dickenson County

Mary Quillen—Chairman of the Virginia Gas & Oil Board

Rick Cooper—Director of the Division of Gas & Oil and Principal Executive to the Staff of the Board

Paul Kugelman, Jr.—Senior Assistant Attorney General

Butch Lambert–Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy

Donnie Rife – Public Representative

Donnie Ratliff – Coal Representative

Bruce Prather–Representative of Oil and Gas Industry

Sarah Gilmer—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Sally Ketron—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Blair Linford – Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Prepared by: Joanna Boyd

Agenda Items

<u>Item Number</u>	Docket Number		<u>Page</u>
1	Public Comment		1
2	VGOB 04-1214-1372-02	(Continued)	11
3	VGOB 16-0816-4095	(Approved)	11
4	VGOB 90-1010-0032-10	(Approved)	16/36
5	VGOB 91-0716-0135-03	(Approved)	40
6	VGOB 92-0421-0217-05	(Approved)	44
7	VGOB 92-07210234-01.	(Approved)	50
8	VGOB 92-0721-0235-02	(Approved)	56
9	VGOB 92-0721-0240-06	(Approved)	62
10	VGOB 93-0216-0326-03.	(Approved)	65
11	VGOB 95-0418-0500-04	(Approved)	70
12	VGOB 02-0917-1072-03	(Approved)	78
13	VGOB 05-1213-1547-02	(Approved)	81
14	VGOB 08-0318-2163-02	(Approved)	85
15	VGOB 16-0920-4096	(Approved)	88
16	VGOB 16-0920-4097	(Approved)	101
17	VGOB 16-0920-4098	(Continued)	22
18	VGOB 96-0116-0530-05	(Approved)	16
19	VGOB 02-0319-1008-05	(Approved)	17
20	VGOB 16-0621-4093	(Approved)	106
21	Board & Division Activities from the staff		115
22	July 2016 Minutes	(Approved)	120

- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning ladies and gentlemen. It's time to call these proceedings to
- 3 order this morning. First of all, I would ask if you have cell phones or any other communication
- 4 devices, if you would please turn those off or put them on vibrate. These proceedings are being
- 5 recorded. If you do have to take a call, please do that outside in the hall. We'd appreciate it.
- 6 Thank you. I'd like to begin these proceedings this morning by asking the Board to please
- 7 introduce themselves and I'll begin with Ms. Surratt.
- 8 **<u>Rita Surratt:</u>** I'm Rita Surratt. I'm a Public Member from Dickenson County.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.:** Paul Kugelman with the Virginia Attorney General's Office.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** And I'm Butch Lambert with the Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy.
- 11 **Donnie Ratliff:** Donnie Ratliff from Wise County, representing coal.
- 12 **Donnie Rife:** Donnie Rife, Public Member. Dickenson County.
- 13 **Bruce Prather:** I'm Bruce Prather. I represent the Oil & Gas Industry.
- 14 Mary Quillen: Mary Quillen, Public Member.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you.

16 <u>Item Number 1</u>

- 17 **Butch Lambert:** We'll begin this morning with, we will now take public comments. Those
- wishing to speak and have signed up and I'll call you in the order that you have signed up and
- 19 first we'll have is Donald Joyce. Mr. Joyce, please come up and state your name for the record.
- **Donald Joyce:** My name is Donald Joyce. I live at 5656 Fox Ridge Road, Ennice, NC.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning, Mr. Joyce.
- 22 **Donald Joyce:** I was here pertaining to the Y47.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** Do you have a Docket Number for that, Mr. Joyce?
- **Donald Joyce:** I sure do. I know I've got it here somewhere. Docket Number VGOB-16-0920-
- 25 4098.
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** What agenda item is that?
- 27 **Donald Joyce:** Agenda item?
- 28 **Mary Quillen:** Yes.

- 1 **Donald Joyce:** 17.
- 2 Mary Quillen: Thank you.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** Is your comments related directly to that Docket Item, Mr. Joyce?
- 4 **Donald Joyce:** Yes, sir.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Would you like to wait until that Docket Item's called?
- 6 **Donald Joyce:** That'd be fine.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, that'd be great. So that way we could just incorporate your comments
- 8 into that Docket Item. If you don't mind. If that's okay with you.
- 9 **Donald Joyce:** That's fine.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Thank you, Mr. Joyce, and when that Docket Item is called, you can
- 11 come forward again. Next, we're calling Brenda Justice. Good morning, Ms. Justice. Would you
- please state your name for the record?
- Brenda Justice: I'm Brenda Justice at 1101 Long Wall Lane, Raven, VA and I'm hear about the
- Linkous Horn heirs. Some of my family got money; some of us didn't and we didn't know what
- to do. Inquired by Rick Cooper to see why the rest of us didn't get our money. Levin White had
- been working on something for us and he said three months ago he done turned it back over to
- 17 CNX for us to get our money. But some of us got the money and some of us didn't and I'm one
- 18 of the Linkous Horn heirs.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** When we have CNX up to hear some of their cases this morning, we'll inquire
- 20 for you...
- 21 **Brenda Justice:** Okay. I appreciate that.
- 22 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** ...on what exactly might be going on. Have they contacted you?
- 23 **Brenda Justice:** No.
- **Butch Lambert:** Not at all?
- 25 **Brenda Justice:** No, I contacted Rick Cooper and he didn't get back with me, but he said he
- 26 would but he ain't. So, I thought I'd come and find out. You know, if one heir gets money, the
- others ought to. I mean, you know.
- 28 **Butch Lambert:** Just set right there. Let's ask Mr. Cooper for an update.

- 1 Rick Cooper: I have been following up on that. From what I understand, you signed a split
- 2 agreement.
- 3 **Brenda Justice:** Well, they said I did. So Levin White checked in on that and said he's going to
- 4 let it stand, so.
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** So, Anita's coming up here but I have talked to Anita about that. You did receive
- 6 one a while back, right?
- 7 **Brenda Justice:** No. I only got \$20.00 two years ago.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Right. It's been a while back.
- 9 **Brenda Justice:** But that wasn't...
- 10 **Rick Cooper:** I think your concern is that the split agreement is still valid, right?
- 11 **Brenda Justice:** Well, they say they are but, you know. If they are, how come it's broke, I mean,
- you know? They broke the contract, if, whatever they got on Grundy. They shouldn't put my
- money in escrow if they're going to make us go by that contract.
- 14 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Do we know if she, is being or should be disbursed?
- 15 **Brenda Justice:** All the rest of them got it that signed. That was, they say they signed. CNX said
- they signed most of us didn't sign. We signed the four-page contract but they had 16 pages on
- Grundy. So that's why Levin White was checking in on it and he told us he's just going to let it
- stand, so he told them to go ahead and get us what they're supposed to.
- 19 **Rick Cooper:** So Anita, we talked about this, so Anita can speak on behalf of CNX. I apologize
- 20 for you coming right in and setting down but you timed it perfectly.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** That's okay.
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** So this is Brenda Justice beside us here.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** Anita, could you fill us in a little bit more, why since she signed a split
- agreement she's not been receiving checks?
- 25 Anita Duty: Well, until, I think last week, it had been turned over to, I guess, the state, to
- investigate the signatures.
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** That's right, she spoke to Levin White. She mentioned that.

- Anita Duty: Right and so in our mind that was still in litigation. We didn't know how to handle
- 2 it. Did we pay her 50% or did we pay her 100%. So that was just resolved, we just got an email, I
- 3 think, last week.
- 4 **Rick Cooper:** Yes.
- 5 Anita Duty: So now we can proceed.
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** Is this a 50/50 split?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 **Bruce Prather:** Okay, so everything...
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Because I think she was uh....
- 10 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Can we just proceed here and not have all this [Inaudible].
- Anita Duty: She was just disputing the fact that that was her signature, and so that was just, like
- 12 I said, just resolved last week. Now that that's resolved, we know that we'll do a 50/50 and now
- we can proceed. That's the reason she wasn't paid.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** When can Ms. Justice expect the next check?
- Anita Duty: Well, depending on what the status of the orders are already, because we've already
- filed some of those. Some of those may be pending. I mean, we can, I can look at that, you know,
- and let Mr. Cooper know but right now we have several of those pending already.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** So Mr. Cooper, could you follow up with Ms. Justice in the future, when you
- see that come before the Board to be disbursed?
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** I will do that, yes.
- 21 Anita Duty: We'll probably need to get a W-9 from her. Just to make sure that we do have, I
- don't know if you've sent us one because we haven't asked for it.
- 23 **Donnie Rife:** Will you take care of that today?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yeah.
- 25 Mary Quillen: Just to clarify, Anita, the reason what the dispute was, was the validity of her
- 26 signature on the split agreement?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** According to her. I mean, they were the ones, her and...
- 28 Mary Quillen: Right, and she said it wasn't her signature?

- Anita Duty: We weren't a party to it so I don't know what the...
- 2 Mary Quillen: Oh.
- 3 Anita Duty: I don't know what the problem was.
- 4 **Donnie Ratliff:** Is that what the attorney was, is that part of his job...
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It was turned over to...
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** ...to determine whether or not that signature was valid?
- 7 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> It was turned over to the inspector, Inspector General's Office.
- 8 Anita Duty: I mean, Ms. Justice can probably speak more to it. I don't know what the issue was.
- 9 I just know...
- 10 **Donnie Rife:** I believe we can get this fixed for you today, ma'am.
- 11 **Brenda Justice:** Well, he said that Levin White told me that he turned it back over to them three
- months ago to pay us, and they paid some of us and they didn't pay me and Ronnie, Ronnie
- 13 Osborne.
- Anita Duty: We just received an email last week and Mr. Cooper was copied on that same
- email. We just received it last week.
- Rick Cooper: That is correct. They said that, he could not verify that, he could not say that the
- signature was falsified, is what I believe the...
- **Butch Lambert:** But as far as CNX is concerned, it's been settled and you're ready to disburse?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** We will file petitions if there's not one already pending.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- 21 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: If there's a split agreement, we don't need a petition, if it's already been
- 22 determined.
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes, you do.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Yeah, it's in escrow.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, it's in escrow still. I thought the, okay. I apologize.
- 26 Mark Swartz: What we have just heard was a person complaining that we haven't paid her and
- 27 the reason she wasn't paid is she claimed her split agreement was valid. Just so that we

- understand; and apparently it was resolved by the Inspector General in the last week and we're
- 2 going to move forward, but this is not on us.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** Yeah, we understand, and the Board's well aware of the issue with the
- 4 Inspector General.
- 5 **Donnie Ratliff:** Well, there were other people on the split agreement that have been paid, it's
- 6 just that she's objecting about the signature.
- 7 Mark Swartz: She was, yes.
- 8 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** But her money's still in escrow so it's...
- 10 Mark Swartz: Because of her objection.
- 11 **Donnie Rife:** ...okay, that's fine. We'll get this fixed today.
- 12 **Brenda Justice:** Alright. Thank you.
- Butch Lambert: Okay, thank you, Ms. Justice. Mr. Grady Horn? Good morning, Mr. Horn.
- 14 Could you state your name for the record?
- 15 **Grady Horn:** I'd appreciate if you all would speak up. I have a hearing problem.
- **Butch Lambert:** Is that any better, Mr. Horn?
- 17 **Grady Horn:** Yeah, boy!
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Mr. Horn, could you state your name for the record, please?
- 19 **Grady Horn:** I'm Grady Don Horn. My address is in Raven, VA 24639.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, and what are your concerns, Mr. Horn?
- 21 Grady Horn: Well, I had gas rights. I lived on 618 up there for several years. I had my gas
- rights there, and I never was paid for none of it and that's been probably been 21 years ago. I left
- there and then went about a mile on down the road. I live at the present place right now; I live on
- 24 down the road from it. I moved from there. I got a letter stating that I was owed a hundred and
- 25 some dollars.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Who was that from, Mr. Horn?
- 27 **Grady Horn:** It's from, it's got CONSOL on it, you know, as the address.

- **Butch Lambert:** So what is your concern?
- 2 Grady Horn: Well, I was just wondering why I haven't been paid. I mean, they owe me money
- 3 now but why didn't they owe me money 20 years ago?
- **Bruce Prather:** Was your father getting payments?
- **Grady Horn:** Do what, now?
- **Bruce Prather:** Was your father getting payments?
- **Grady Horn:** Oh, no.
- **Donnie Rife:** No family relatives was getting the check from them?
- **Grady Horn:** Oh, no. No, I was the sole owner of the place.
- **Donnie Rife:** You could have been here 20 years ago.
- **Grady Horn:** Yeah.
- **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, if I could; do you know what well you're associated with?
- **Grady Horn:** No, I do not know.
- **Rick Cooper:** So if you and I could get...
- **Grady Horn:** It might be on some of the literature that I've got.
- **Rick Cooper:** So if you'll get with me, I'll follow up on that and try to help you out.
- **Grady Horn:** Okay.
- **Rick Cooper:** Alright.
- **Donnie Rife:** Mr. Cooper's like a blood hound. He knows where every well in the country is.
- **Grady Horn:** That's great. Somebody needs to know that, don't they?
- **Butch Lambert:** So if you'll get with Mr. Cooper here, he will try to work out the issue with
- you and see where you are and what you're owed.
- **Grady Horn:** Okay.
- **Butch Lambert:** Can you give him a card.

- 1 Rick Cooper: That's what I'm going to do, right now. If you hang around here today, during the
- 2 break we can talk or you can call me anytime on that number. Whatever is good for you.
- 3 **Grady Horn:** Okay, Rick. Thank you. Anything else, Mr. Horn?
- 4 **Grady Horn:** No, I reckon that'll be all.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- 6 **Grady Horn:** Thank you, all. I'm taking this with me and set down.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Yeah, absolutely, and if anyone else needs a hearing impaired device, Mr.
- 8 Cooper would be glad to fix you right up. Okay, I have Joseph Sanzone. Good morning.
- 9 **Joseph Sanzone:** I'm Joseph Sanzone. I'm an attorney from Lynchburg, VA, and I was hired by
- the heirs of James C. Blankenship who died around 1910, to help them with a disbursement. I
- always love coming to this area of the country. It's beautiful down here and I look at all of your
- rivers and there are many good spots to fish, so it's hard to find a good one if you don't know
- where you're going and you've never been down here. So, with Mr. Blankenship and this issue
- with the disbursement, I need to y'all to help me get in the river at the right spot on this to do the
- 15 best work.
- Donnie Rife: We'll walk you in instead of throwing you in over your head.
- Joseph Sanzone: Oh, then I know that I'm with some people who would know where they're
- going and that's good. So, in looking at the problem, there are so many heirs to this parcel and
- the parcel I'm talking about is Tract 6 and 7 within the BUS-1 sealed GOB Unit established by
- 20 the Board in 1991. The way that I think the problem needs to be solved, and my clients have
- agreed for me to this, is to re-open the old estate of Mr. Blankenship from 1910. We hired a
- professional genealogist to look at who the heirs were and what's happened with the
- 23 Blankenship's since the time of his death. He reserved mineral rights. He does not own the
- property and did not own the property at the time of his death, but he stilled owned the mineral
- 25 rights at that point and there was a lot of that back there during that period of time. There was no
- will in the family among these heirs that we can find until about 1971, so all these are intestate
- 27 concessions and that has a meaning under the law that, some of these people who may have had
- an interest and died without children, lost that interest. But, we're going to go ahead and open
- that estate; go to Grundy and get that done here in the next little bit. I'm been in contact with Ms.
- 30 Duty and Ms. Duty, I haven't had the opportunity or pleasure to meet you before, but we've
- 31 talked to your office and we've talked to you before. What I really need, and I need some
- assistance from Ms. Duty, when we get ready to file this and after we file this, to identify the
- particular parcel that we're talking about and what money might have been escrowed because,
- according to a letter I'm looking at from 2008, it looks like about \$330,000 had been escrowed at
- 35 that point, concerning that particular property and there may be more since then. There probably
- is more since then. But what we anticipate in doing is opening the estate; having a judge rule as

- to the ownership portions of the, each one of the family members; have a hearing on that; let him
- 2 issue an order; and then that assists Ms. Duty in whatever money might be available at that point.
- 3 I also think by looking at the list of my heirs, that we have a number of people who would be
- 4 able to do the same thing for their respected family's, and be able to assist in getting the
- 5 individual shares to their family members by qualifying them, maybe some of the older estates
- 6 that exist within their family. I just have no access to what information might be there on what,
- 7 what those estates might be. I'm not concerned with those as much today as I am today with the
- 8 Blankenship estate, and I'd just like to start this with Mr. Blankenship's estate being re-opened;
- 9 work with Ms. Duty; and I'm only here today to ask for her assistance and the Board's assistance
- with that process, as we move forward over the next few months and year, probably.
- Butch Lambert: It depends on the area. Do you know how many heirs were involved?
- 12 **Joseph Sanzone:** Well, it's well over 100, because as I said, we hired a genealogist that took it
- up through the 70's and into the 80's, and I think by contacting some of these people we could
- find the additional heirs, but there are quite a few heirs and I think that is one of the big, I would
- imagine that's one of the big problems with this Board faces, is how you distribute those small
- shares, and I don't think you could do it effectively, like I this case, unless you go back to the
- 17 Circuit Court, get the estate open, get the Judge to rule on it, and then give a distribution order.
- 18 **Donnie Rife:** That's the whole purpose to setting up the escrow, because you're right, the
- 19 heirship is a tremendous problem. Just finding them and just getting people to recognize the
- 20 fact...we can't even hardly get them to accept a certified piece of mail in order to pay them their
- 21 money.
- **Joseph Sanzone:** Well, I've heard that from another Board member.
- 23 **Donnie Rife:** Oh, believe me. It's true.
- 24 Joseph Sanzone: And I can see how that would happen. I hope this would help the Board; I
- 25 hope that it would help my clients, and all of their heirs. I know it would, and this is a fair way to
- do it. I just ask for your help, and Ms. Duty, I look forward to working with you on it.
- 27 **Bruce Prather:** Well, really what you're doing, you're substituting the judge for the will.
- 28 Joseph Sanzone: Yes.
- 29 **Bruce Prather:** ...what's been filed back years ago.
- Joseph Sanzone: Yes, that's exactly, which should have been filed years ago and since it was
- 31 not done, we'll reopen the estate, have an administrator to the estate, and move forward in that
- 32 way.

- 1 Mark Swartz: I think almost all the Blankenship interest back in the 1970's. If there is \$300,000
- 2 in escrow, it's the whole, whatever's in escrow hardly any of it. There was one Blankenship, and
- 3 so, whatever the amount of the deposit is, hardly any of it will be for the Blankenship's. Sounds
- 4 like we have a title issue, okay? Because we think CONSOL purchased the interest. What would
- 5 that be? 45 years ago? If we missed an interest or if the title wasn't released, share with us what
- 6 you think this will be. The balls kind of in your court and I think you're trying to dump it in mine
- 7 and I'm pushing back.
- 8 **Joseph Sanzone:** No.
- 9 Mark Swartz: But I certainly would welcome any evidence that we've missed...the people that
- you represent, we would look at that; take a good look at that, see if we have a title conflict,
- 11 resolve some issues.
- 12 **Joseph Sanzone:** Well, I've been contacting someone for a year and a half and I haven't had any
- 13 resolution at all.
- Mark Swartz: Okay, what have you sent us that shows that we've got it wrong?
- 15 **Joseph Sanzone:** I've sent you four letters.
- 16 Mark Swartz: No, that's not a deed.
- 17 **Joseph Sanzone:** I've sent you the original source deed.
- 18 Mark Swartz: From what year?
- 19 **Joseph Sanzone:** I've sent you the source deed from September 1905.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Right, and we say we bought it in 1970, so you want title up until then?
- 21 **Joseph Sanzone:** What did you send me from 1970?
- 22 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Okay, hold on just a minute. The things you all are discussing are nothing that
- 23 this Board can help with. This is an issue that you parties need to work out and then the Board
- 24 will act upon resolution for disbursement, so.
- 25 **Joseph Sanzone:** Mr. Lambert, let me just say in response to that, if there was a person who died
- 26 without a will in 1910 and that interest was acquired in 1970, I would think that it's virtually
- 27 impossible to cover all the interest that occurred with the heirs from that point, that maybe
- 28 something was purchased and that's something to work out, but I still think that it needs to be
- done within the context of the Circuit Court and what happens there. I agree with you, Mr.
- Lambert, that's not for here... for today, but the point I'm trying to make, we have a hard time
- 31 finding, as heirs, what money has been escrowed, how it's been escrowed, and what's been
- treated with that, so, we don't have the type of contact point that we would like in this case to

- say, this is what we're disputing, this is what is being argued over, and I'd like someone, if not
- 2 Ms. Duty, somebody, to send me a letter to say what's been escrowed off of these tracts so that
- we can take that to the Circuit Court and make a decision as to what's been...
- 4 Mark Swartz: Well, it's Exhibit E.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Yeah, Mr. Cooper and his staff can help with that we have the record of those
- and how those tracts...what has been escrowed. Probably can show you production on those too,
- 7 so, if you want to contact Mr. Cooper to help with some of that, as far as the amounts in escrow
- 8 from those units. We'll be able to help you with that.
- 9 Mark Swartz: [Over talk] And reasons.
- Joseph Sanzone: That's wonderful, and that would be all that we would need and then we can
- 11 move forward. Thank you.
- 12 Item Number 2
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, now we'll move into our Docket for this morning and the first item on
- our agenda is Docket Item Number 2 and it's a petition from EQT Production Company, for
- disbursement of funds on behalf of all known owners in Tracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, pursuant to
- House Bill 2058. This is Docket Number VGOB 04-1214-1372-02. We have received a letter
- 17 from Mr. Kaiser, on behalf of EQT, requesting an extension of that Docket Item until October.
- 18 So that item will be extended.
- 19 <u>Item Number 3</u>
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from EnerVest Operating, LLC, for pooling of Well No. VCI-
- 21 531608. Docket Number VGOB 16-0816-4095. All parties wishing to testify, please come
- 22 forward.
- 23 **Tim Scott:** Gus Janson, Tim Scott, and Aaron Anderson for EnerVest Operating, LLC.
- 24 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning.
- 25 **Tim Scott:** Morning.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** You may begin.
- 27 Sarah Gilmer: Do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
- but the truth?
- 29 **Tim Scott:** I do. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 30 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Scott.

- 1 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Mr. Anderson, please state your name, by whom you're employed and your job
- 2 description.
- 3 Aaron Anderson: My name's Aaron Anderson. I work for EnerVest Operating, LLC, and I'm
- 4 an Associate Landman.
- 5 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Are you familiar with this application that we filed?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** And where is this unit located?
- 8 Aaron Anderson: In the Nora Coalbed Gas Field.
- **Tim Scott:** And how many acres does it contain?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 58.77.
- 11 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And EnerVest has rights under lease to drill and also ownership interests. Is that
- 12 correct?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That is correct.
- 14 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Now this was originally set for August and in the meantime, you all have made
- additional leasing efforts, is that correct?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Are we going to dismiss any parties' respondent today?
- **Aaron Anderson:** We are.
- **Tim Scott:** Who are they, please?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Evelyn Coleman and Brenda Sue Duty.
- **Tim Scott:** As a result of those efforts, have you filed revised Exhibits B and B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** WE have.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay, and have you attempted to reach an agreement with the parties respondents
- and what efforts were made?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Yes, we've sent everybody leases and made some phone calls.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. How was notice of this hearing provided?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Certified Mail.

- 1 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay, have we provided the proof of mailing to the Board?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- 3 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Do we have any unknown owners in this unit?
- **Aaron Anderson:** No, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** What would be the lease terms you would offer, in the event you were able to reach
- an agreement with the parties listed on Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** \$25.00 an acre for a five-year, paid up lease.
- 8 Tim Scott: And EnerVest is authorized to conduct business within the Commonwealth, is that
- 9 right?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Yes, they are.
- **Tim Scott:** And there's a blanket bond on file?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, you just testified that you would offer \$25.00 an acre in a five-year, paid up
- lease. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Do you consider that to be reasonable compensation for a lease in the Appalachian
- 17 Basin?
- **Aaron Anderson:** I do.
- **Tim Scott:** Now, how much of the gas estate does EnerVest have under lease?
- **Aaron Anderson:** 8.32647619%.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay, and that does include tracts that EnerVest is the simple owner of the minerals.
- 22 Is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- 24 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Okay. What percentage of the gas estate are you attempting to pool today?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** 1.615111111%.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay, and we don't have an escrow requirement, is that right?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.

- **<u>Tim Scott:</u>** And again, we don't have any unknowns?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That's correct.
- **Tim Scott:** And are you requesting the Board to pool the parties listed on Exhibit B3? The
- 4 revised Exhibit B3?
- **Aaron Anderson:** Yes, sir.
- **Tim Scott:** And you're also asking that EnerVest be named the operator. Is that correct?
- **Aaron Anderson:** That is correct.
- **Tim Scott:** Now if the Board approves our application today and elections are made under the
- 9 order entered, what would be the address for making any elections?
- **Aaron Anderson:** It's EnerVest Operating, LLC, 406 W. Main St., P.O. Box 2136, Abingdon,
- 11 VA 24212. That's attention Chuck Akers, Land Manager.
- 12 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And as far as any other correspondence regarding this unit, should that also be the
- 13 address?
- **<u>Aaron Anderson:</u>** Yes.
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have for Mr. Anderson.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] You may continue, Mr. Scott?
- **Tim Scott:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Janson, please state your name, by whom you are
- 18 employed, and your job description, please.
- **Gus Janson:** My name is Gus Janson. I'm employed by EnerVest Operating, LLC, as the
- 20 Geology Advisor.
- 21 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And you participated in the preparation of this application, is that right?
- **Gus Janson:** I did.
- **Tim Scott:** Okay. What's the total well depth?
- **Gus Janson:** Total well depth is 2,143 feet.
- 25 <u>Tim Scott:</u> And the estimated reserves for this unit?
- **Gus Janson:** 620 million cubic feet of gas.
- **Tim Scott:** And you just said that you participated in participation of the AFE. Is that correct?

- **Gus Janson:** That is correct.
- 2 <u>Tim Scott:</u> So you're familiar with the well cost?
- **Gus Janson:** I am.
- **Tim Scott:** What is the proposed dry hole cost?
- **Gus Janson:** \$147,100.
- **Tim Scott:** And the completed well cost?
- **Gus Janson:** \$348,850.
- **Tim Scott:** And we've submitted the AFE with our application, is that correct?
- **Gus Janson:** We did.
- 10 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Now, in your opinion, if the Board grants our application, would conservation
- prevent ways to protect correlative rights?
- **Gus Janson:** Yes, it would.
- 13 <u>Tim Scott:</u> That's all I have for Mr. Janson.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Scott?
- **Tim Scott:** That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 18 Mary Quillen: Second.
- **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 20 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** Opposed no?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chair.
- **Butch Lambert:** One abstention, Mr. Ratliff. Thank you, Mr. Scott.
- 25 <u>Tim Scott:</u> Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 Item Number 4

- 2 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of funds
- 3 from escrow heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of
- 4 Tract 1B, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties
- 5 directly to the parties listed in the petition; and (3) Dismissal of coal owner. This is Docket
- 6 Number VGOB 90-1010-0032-10. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Mr. Chairman, it looks like it's just Anita and I hear on this. I would ask perhaps
- 8 you could call Items 17 and 18 so that Mrs. Keen, right? If we could call that and get her on her
- 9 way.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Yeah, we could do that. Call 17?
- 11 **Mark Swartz:** 17 and 18.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Together?
- 13 Mark Swartz: I think they're on the same...
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** Are they the same?
- 15 **Rick Cooper:** They are.
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Alright we are going to skip down on the docket and instead of the one
- we just called, we're going to be calling Docket Item Number 17.
- 18 <u>Item Number 18</u>
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling under Oakwood
- 20 Coalbed Methane Gas Field...
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** No.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** That's 17.
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** Actually 18 and 19.
- 24 Mark Swartz: I'm sorry. 18 and 19. Going from memory is never good.
- **Mary Quillen:** 18 and 19?
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** We're calling 18 and 19. Okay, calling Docket Item Number 18. On the
- 27 Board's own motion, the Division of Gas and Oil requests that CNX revise the previously
- approved petition for VGOB 96-0116-0530-05. This is Unit V28, to remove Walter Keen. He is
- 29 now deceased and no direction has been given as to how his proceeds need to be distributed.

Item Number 19

- 2 **Butch Lambert:** On the Board's own motion, the Division of Gas and Oil requests that CNX
- 3 revise the previously approved petition for VGOB 02-0319-1008-05 for Unit VP2SGU1, to
- 4 remove Walter Keen. He is now deceased and no direction has been given as to how his
- 5 proceeds need to be distributed. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** Could you all state your name for the record, please.
- 7 **Sarah J. Keen:** My name is Sarah J. Keen.
- 8 **Sandra Smith:** And I'm Sandra Smith, the daughter.
- 9 **Sarah J. Keen:** My daughter.

1

- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Good morning.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita, also.
- Sandra Smith: And Mr. Galumbeck. Robert Galumbeck. He's mother's attorney and due to
- other court proceedings, he was unable to be here today.
- Donnie Rife: We'd rather talk to you guys instead of attorneys anyway.
- 15 Sandra Smith: Well, thank you.
- 16 Mark Swartz: I could give it to you in 25 words or less.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** You will get a gold star.
- 18 Mark Swartz: We had a hearing and we ordered money to be disbursed. Mr. Keen died; there's
- an estate; the former Mrs. Keen has a very substantial judgement [Inaudible] out of state and
- there is a conflict as to where that money should go. I've been in touch with her lawyer. He
- 21 intends to lean the money but regardless of his intentions, we now have someone that was
- ordered to receive funds that is deceased and we have a question as to who those funds should go
- 23 to. Whether or not they're going to be subject to a lien and our proposal, so that's the facts. Our
- proposal is that we amend Table 1 to remove Mr. Keen and then the petition to do that as well,
- and then move forward so we can pay everyone else. There are other people involved in that
- 26 disbursement, and leave those moneys in escrow. I assume from talking to her lawyer yesterday
- and communicating with him by email last week, he seems like he knows what he's doing. I
- 28 think he's going to move forward, but if he doesn't, we can readdress this but there appears to be
- a title conflict; a solution perhaps, that doesn't involve us, and someone will come back to us
- with a court order, hopefully.
- 31 **Mary Quillen:** Is there a well?

- 1 **Donnie Rife:** That's what I was going to ask?
- 2 Mark Swartz: Well, there's an estate. Whether or not there is a well, I don't know.
- 3 **Sarah Keen:** There is a well. He...
- 4 Mark Swartz: It doesn't matter if there's a well.
- 5 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: There's an intervening legal...
- 6 Mark Swartz: As a judgement for almost a quarter of a million dollars, I'm being told, against
- 7 Mr. Keen, she wants to collect it from the estate, so it's not like an heirship or any of that.
- 8 Mary Quillen: Okay, so it is...that's what I wanted...
- 9 Mark Swartz: It's a judgement lien that they're trying to...
- 10 **Mary Quillen:** So it's not involving an heirship?
- 11 Sandra Smith: No, no.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And I assume that the heirs in that estate are going to contest this so it's probably
- looking at claims in an estate to be resolved by...
- 14 Mary Quillen: But currently, we have no heirship?
- 15 **Sarah Keen:** There is no heirship.
- 16 **Sandra Smith:** We are her children. He has four children and, however, there is nothing coming
- to us when the will was written, after him leaving the hospital the last time, it indicated
- 18 everything and I should have it here, that everything was to go to the lady that he had been with
- and that she was the executor of his estate. However, back in 2006-2007, 2006. June 23, 2006,
- 20 there's a final divorce decree and Mr. Galumbeck had filed judgement against the estate because
- 21 he owed mother a tremendous amount of money. It really is over a quarter of a million dollars
- 22 that was not paid and not followed through.
- 23 Mary Quillen: Okay, you've clarified the situation. I did not know what the marital situation
- was. So, that doesn't concern us at all.
- 25 **Sandra Smith:** Thank you.
- 26 Mary Quillen: Thank you. I appreciate that.
- 27 **Sandra Smith:** That was just...

- 1 Mary Quillen: Right, right.
- 2 Sandra Smith: ...how it came about so, what she is an executor of his will right now, and...
- 3 Mary Quillen: But that doesn't involve us, is what I am saying, at this point. So that's fine. We
- 4 don't have to have any other information right now.
- 5 Sandra Smith: I have in my hands, Mr. Galumbeck does appear to know what he is doing, but I
- 6 do have the docket where he did file, before the court, for judgement against dad, and as far as
- 7 the collection and everything, I don't know how this fall about. Why it was never collected prior
- 8 to now, but verses handing it out to, or disbursing it out to another individual that doesn't have a
- 9 right to it, where mother has claim to it. That's where we are.
- Mary Quillen: Right. That doesn't concern us as a Board, is what I'm saying, right at this point.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** So, ladies, as just stated, we really can't resolve issue with the heirs and who is
- to be paid, but the solution that Mr. Swartz offered is a solution that this Board can work with,
- and that's that we can revise our order and disburse all the other parties except for you and we
- will hold your funds until either we get a court order to disburse the funds, then we can certainly
- do that, under a court order.
- 17 **Sandra Smith:** Okay, and is that, I may have misunderstood Mr. Galumbeck. That sounds fine.
- 18 Could it not be disbursed to the court to determine when they go to the court?
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: If we order...
- 20 **Sandra Smith:** You understand what I'm saying?
- 21 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Yes, Ma'am. I'm council for the Board and for DMME, and we can't
- 22 release, the Board cannot, or I will tell the Board they're not authorized to release the funds to
- any particular person under the circumstances.
- 24 **Donnie Rife:** Because we...
- 25 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Except for Walter Keen.
- 26 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: For just with Walter Keen. Thank you for making that, yeah, that's a fine
- point to put on it.
- 28 **Sandra Keen:** Clarification. Okay.
- 29 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I'm just telling you about this issue. I was talking about the broader agenda
- item, but thank you for clarifying that, Mr. Swartz. Because right now, we don't know who the
- 31 money belongs to. We don't.

- 1 Sandra Smith: Right. And I understand that.
- 2 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr.</u>: And this Board does not have the authority to make that determination.
- 3 **Sandra Smith:** Okay.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: And we don't want to give the money to...
- 5 Sandra Smith: So you're going to continue with the money in...
- 6 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: It's going to stay where it is right now.
- 7 **Sandra Smith:** Until the judge...
- 8 **Donnie Rife:** Until we get a ruling.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: It's going to stay where it is right now until either we get all the lawyers to
- come in and say we're going to pay it into a separate account to be held. It'll be out of our
- account, rather the escrow account, out of the CBM escrow account, into another account, where
- it can stay until the litigation is resolved, or it can stay in the CBM account until the litigation is
- resolved. Does that sound...?
- 14 Mark Swartz: We have two observations: one, Sarah Jane Keen actually gets a disbursement
- and that needs to move forward. If she was one of the people that was going to receive...
- 16 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: She has her own separate interest.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Under Table 1, she was going to get a disbursement.
- 18 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, that's fine.
- 19 Mark Swartz: So, she's going to get that money. The Walter Keen money, the fellow who died,
- 20 that money needs to stay in escrow.
- 21 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 22 Mark Swartz: In terms of paying it in the court, the Board would need a court order from a
- court, saying do it. They're not going to start a an interparty action. You know, so, and the
- lawyer talked to me about that and I told him it's not going to help.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Thank you.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Well, so, but my recommendation is allow her to be paid in accordance with your
- original order; lets modify the paperwork so that the Walter Keen money stays in escrow; and
- we'll see what happens with the estate.

- 1 Mary Quillen: Just to clarify on that. This that we are disbursing, she has an account, an
- 2 individual, personal...
- 3 Mark Swartz: She has her own interest, independent of Mr. Keen.
- 4 Mary Quillen: The only thing that we are going to be paying would be what is into that
- 5 individual account?
- 6 **Mark Swartz:** Right.
- 7 **Mary Quillen:** Okay.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And Anita makes another point which I think was implicit with, and there are a
- 9 couple other people that get paid and we want them to be paid too. It's just the Walter Keen
- money from a different tract that we want to hold.
- 11 **Donnie Rife:** That's the only thing up for judgement right now.
- 12 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 13 **Bruce Prather:** Why haven't these people been paid in the past, if they have a right to it?
- 14 **Donnie Rife:** I guess they have been paid in the past.
- 15 Mark Swartz: I would have to go back and look at the, but it may be the House Bill was, I
- mean, I don't know. I would have to go back and look at that.
- Anita Duty: Originally, Number 1, Shea Cook represents these people that are here so we had
- some trouble getting affidavits, W-9's, things like that. Then, in addition to that, we were
- 19 notified by Ms. Keen that there was an issue with settlement, things like that. So, we notified Mr.
- 20 Cooper. Mr. Cooper put it on hold but now, since then, Mr. Keen has passed away. So there was
- 21 like a whole, several things that contributed to it not being paid.
- 22 Mary Quillen: Thank you. That clarifies it.
- 23 Anita Duty: I think that's why he put it on the docket. So he can resolve it.
- 24 Mary Quillen: That clarifies it. Thank you.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Do we have a motion?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I make a motion that on Docket Item 18 and 19 that we make sure Ms. Keen's
- 27 paid.
- 28 **Anita Duty:** All parties except for her.

- 1 **Donnie Ratliff:** We'll do two motions. We'll do this one first. The first one's just to make sure
- 2 that everybody understands that on Table 1, that Ms. Keen will be paid. Make that a formal
- 3 motion.
- 4 **Donnie Rife:** I'll second that, Mr. Chair.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 6 favor, signify by saying yes.
- 7 **Board:** Yes.
- 8 **Donnie Ratliff:** Second motion. Concerning Docket Item 18 and 19, would pay everyone in
- 9 Table 1, except Walter Keen. We would remove him and that money would stay in escrow until
- 10 litigation tells us what to do.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- favor, signify by saying yes.
- 14 **Board:** Yes.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response] Thank you, folks.
- 16 **Donnie Rife:** Thank you, guys, for coming in.
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, I would just like to add, that is that when they revise their petition
- for us to do that, revise their table and their E and EE, for us to do that.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** Right. I think that was the motion.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** Okay.
- 21 Item Number 17
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Swartz, since we're jumping around, we have Mr. Joyce in the audience
- so he won't have to wait the rest of the day, could we call 17?
- Mark Swartz: We'll do 17. Y47. Okay, we can do that.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling under Oakwood
- 26 Coalbed Methane Gas Field I, for Unit Y47. This is Docket Number VGOB 16-0920-4098. All
- 27 parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- **Donald Joyce:** Do you need me to repeat my name?

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** No, you're fine. I'm going to have to swear you in.
- 2 **Donald Joyce:** Okay.
- 3 Sarah Gilmer: Do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
- 4 but the truth?
- 5 **Donald Joyce:** Yes, ma'am.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Joyce.
- 7 **Donald Joyce:** My relief is quite simple. I wish to be removed from the forced pooling since I'm
- 8 in the middle of negotiations with CNX.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Swartz or Ms. Duty, can you give us a little bit of background?
- 10 **Donald Joyce:** I also need to remove my brother, also. I'm speaking for him. He had to work.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: No, sir. You cannot. Are you a lawyer licensed to practice in Virginia?
- Donald Joyce: Well, considering the quality of some of them, yeah. I'm just kidding. No, I'm
- 13 not.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Alright, so you cannot represent anybody's interests here but your own.
- 15 **Donald Joyce:** Okay.
- **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Duty, do you have any background on what's going on here by the
- 17 negotiations?
- 18 Anita Duty: I mean, according to what we received from our Lien Department, it look like a
- lease was offered, and I don't know if you were part of some of those meetings. Did you come to
- the office and have meetings?
- 21 **Donald Joyce:** No. I work in Pennsylvania.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 23 **Donald Joyce:** It's all been by phone.
- 24 Anita Duty: I guess maybe he could elaborate on negotiations because, as far as what our Land
- Department is telling us is that he wasn't interested. So.
- 26 **Donald Joyce:** Incorrect. Just the other day I talked with Darren Whitt on the cell phone. He
- offered me \$200 an acre. I told him that was not enough. Before then, I called CNX in the
- Pennsylvania out of the Indiana Office. Talked to Kyle, bear with me on the spelling. It's

- pronounced Ciliante. He said that they do not handle the CNX operations in Virginia. I ask him
- what their standard agreement was in Pennsylvania, offhand, you know, what they would first
- offer me. Their standard offer is \$2,000 an acre; 15% royalty. And there no royalty offer if
- 4 \$4,000 an acre. No royalty. I talked to Darren Whitt later on and told him I was interested in
- 5 negotiating and he wasn't used to no royalty agreement. He had talked to somebody else. He
- 6 called me. We played voice mail thing. Finally got in touch with him and he offered \$200 an
- 7 acre. According to their reserves, their reserves are 125-550 million cubic feet of reserves. I went
- 8 off of a mid-estimate of 212 million cubic feet per 80 acre unit; comes down to 2.65 million
- 9 cubic feet, mid-estimate. City [Indiscernible 49:10] price is, as of June, was \$6.42 per 1,000
- cubic feet. Going off that assumption, that's the whole-sale price, that's \$17,053 per acre. I
- thought \$1,000 per acre was a reasonable offer, which is far below 12.5% required by Virginia
- on a forced pooling. So, I wish that tract be removed from forced pooling. That is my purpose.
- 13 Mark Swartz: I'd like to make a call. We have a lot of hearsay here. Anita is going to call the
- land agent and see if he says any of this happened. If he says it did, we'll talk about it. If he says
- it didn't, you know, we can't pull a tract out of forced pooling.
- **Donald Joyce:** I am making forced pooling to force someone be totally, totally unreasonable.
- 17 I'm being reasonable.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Well, you say you're being reasonable. I want to know what our guy said. Anita
- was not part of the conversation; she's going to call and ask him and we can take a short break.
- 20 **Donnie Ratliff:** The only problem you've got is, the price that he's quoting there is for
- 21 Marcellus.
- 22 Mark Swartz: I understand, so we need to talk to our guy.
- 23 **Donnie Ratliff:** And there's no Marcellus here so you can use that price.
- 24 **Donald Joyce:** I also went half the price.
- 25 **Mary Quillen:** That's not...
- 26 **Donnie Rife:** That's not the current.
- 27 **Mary Quillen:** No.
- 28 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Counsel's calling a time out here, folks. It's not appropriate for us to
- 29 determine whether the negotiations are appropriate or fair. This is not what this Board does.
- 30 **Donald Joyce:** I understand that, but my...
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Sir! If there's some additional evidence that needs to be gathered and we
- need to take a break, that's up to the Board to decide. If you guys can come together, that's fine.

- But as I look at 361.21, if there's no agreement as to whether the interest should be pooled, the
- 2 Board has the authority to force pool. That's it.
- 3 **Donald Joyce:** But, the purpose of the law of a forced pool, is if the party is being irresponsible,
- 4 just difficult, don't want to deal with it.
- 5 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: The plain text of the statute, sir, is that if there is no agreement to a pool,
- 6 the Board has the authority to force pool. It's a shale pool. So there's even an argument to be
- 7 made that the general assembly's intent here was, if there is no agreement then there will be a
- 8 forced pool.
- 9 **Donald Joyce:** But I've already began and they're not, I mean, my argument is, I am in process.
- 10 I have just began processing. You're talking about relieving me of my property, forcefully,
- without giving, in other words, I am negotiating fairly and I do not believe I should be forced
- pooled when I am in the process. At the very least, the relief I should accept is the delay of the
- forced pooling, so that the process can proceed as we can negotiate.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: That's a different question and I believe, I guess you need to talk to CNX
- 15 to see if...
- 16 Mark Swartz: Jamie is going to make the call while we proceed with the hearing.
- 17 **Donald Joyce:** I wish I could give you exact dates that I talked with the gentleman. It was
- always on the cell phone or in the car.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: It's really not germane and I'm not trying to be. I understand this is a really
- strange place to be and I remember when I took over as the lawyer for the Gas and Oil Board, I
- 21 was sort of amazed at the authority at, or the amount of authority that, you know, companies
- have to be able to develop energy, but the United States policy and the policy of the
- 23 Commonwealth of Virginia, is to develop energy, so you have an opportunity to reach an
- agreement. It sounds like, based on the fact that CNX has petitioned, they believe that there's not
- 25 going to be any agreement, and as far as I know, they want to go forward with the petition. It's
- 26 going to be up to the Board to decide how to handle your statement that it's under process and
- 27 that you'd like more time. That's a policy decision to make, but if there is no agreement and
- 28 CNX wants to go forward, the Board's going to have to make the call. But, you cannot be
- accepted from it and you cannot stop the forced pool by objection. That's why it's called a forced
- 30 pool.
- 31 **Donald Joyce:** Well, my interpretation is forced pool, through my research, is if you had 10
- 32 people that wanted to go ahead with the well and one person did not to, that is to force that one
- individual to keep from stopping the whole show.
- **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: [Over talk] it could be one person wants to go forward and nine don't. The
- way the laws written, it still goes forward. It's not a majority rules. It's a statute.

- 1 **Donald Joyce:** I understand that, but that was the theory of the forced pooling was to keep
- 2 somebody from stopping things from going forward. But like I said, my purpose is, my argument
- 3 is, I am actually trying to make an agreement.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I understand what you're saying and I appreciate what you're saying, and I
- 5 respect where you are coming from. I can understand that it's frustrating. You're right, but
- 6 you're misstating the purpose just a little bit. The purpose really is to develop the energy. That's
- why they have the forced pool provision. It's written in such a way that, if everybody's not in
- 8 agreement, it goes forward. It's not a majority rule; it's not a 25% rule; the Board's directed to
- 9 force pool if there's not a unity of interest. Do I have that wrong, Mr. Swartz?
- 10 Mark Swartz: No.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Find anything out, Ms. Duty?
- 12 Mark Swartz: Jan is calling. Just to...
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** It's 10 o'clock. Let's just go ahead and take a break.
- 14 Mark Swartz: We have standard lease terms that we offer. Okay. Period. That doesn't mean that
- we don't negotiate with people. We'd rather have a lease and so we're just trying to find out if
- there were negotiations with the substance with this gentleman just as a matter of curiosity
- because he's telling us. So that's why we're making the call.
- 18 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: That's appropriate.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** We're going to take a 10 minute recess and we'll come back together at about
- 20 ten after.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, ladies and gentlemen, we'll resume our hearing this morning continuing
- on with Docket Item 17. Ms. Duty, was you able to confirm or not, if there was negotiations?
- 23 Mark Swartz: Actually, I was able to confirm that there was negotiations. Our Lien Department
- contacted him with regard to a lease. His answer was, he did not want to lease, he wanted to sell
- and we negotiated and our last offer was we would purchase his interest for \$200 an acre and that
- 26 was unacceptable to him. So, that's where we were. I got the impression when I was listening to
- 27 him that he was suggesting that we offer to pay a \$200 an acre bonus and it was actually \$200 an
- acre to purchase his interest; our last offer, which was not acceptable to him.
- 29 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: So the offer was rejected then?
- 30 Mark Swartz: Correct. And it wasn't...he refused to lease. He wasn't interested in a lease, so he
- 31 didn't pursue a lease. He wanted to sell and our offer to buy was \$200 an acre.
- **Donald Joyce:** My counter offer was \$1,000 per acre; sell the gas; coalbed methane. He said "I
- don't know, I'll have to contact [Inaudible].

- 1 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: How long ago was that?
- 2 <u>Donald Joyce:</u> September...I just checked my cell phone today...that was September 14th.
- 3 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Was that six days ago or a year and six days ago?
- 4 **Donald Joyce:** Of 2016.
- 5 Mark Swartz: We don't have an agreement.
- 6 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Has there been a formal response or are you just telling us now that CNX
- 7 is not going to.
- 8 Mark Swartz: We're not going to pay \$1,000 an acre.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** Let me put this on the table, just for discussion. If either party don't want to do
- this, then the Board has no choice than to move forward, and I would put on the table that,
- maybe we continue this for 30 days just keep it open for Mr. Joyce. Maybe for any other
- negotiations [Inaudible]. If that's not acceptable by either party then the Board has no choice but
- to move forward.
- 14 Mark Swartz: If he wants to continue to negotiate, that's totally fine with us. We will, we're not
- going to pay \$1,000. That's all I can say today, okay? If he wants to make some other proposal,
- he's welcome to contact the Land Department, he has their numbers. If they can reach an
- agreement, we'll have an agreement with him and we'll dismiss him, but we're in the process of
- trying to get this well permitted; these two wells, actually; we would like to move forward with
- that, so, we would like to proceed today, if we can. That doesn't mean they can't continue to
- 20 talk, if he want to come off the \$1,000.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Prather?
- 22 **Bruce Prather:** How much acreage are we talking about?
- 23 Mark Swartz: He has 2/3 of...how many acres?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** 3.82.
- **Donald Joyce:** I believe this is a 92 acre tract, according to Mr. Williams...Mr. Whitt. I'm sorry.
- 26 **Bruce Prather:** 92 acres?
- 27 **Donald Joyce:** 93. And my brother is also, he's in on it also.
- Mark Swartz: It's about 20 acres and he has 2/3 of it, so, roughly 13 acres is his interest in this
- 29 unit.
- 30 **Butch Lambert:** About 20 acres in the tract?

- 1 Anita Duty: 2/3.
- 2 Mark Swartz: 2/3 in a total of 20 acres. Correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Cause he has a relative who has the other 1/3.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Joyce has said he owned about 90 acres?
- 6 **Donald Joyce:** 93 but according to Jerry, he said, I was talking about our land, not just this unit.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Yeah, but 20 acres is...
- 8 Mark Swartz: In the tract, it's 19.983. In the unit, I mean.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: So he has 2/3 of the, approximately 20 acre tract?
- 10 **Donald Joyce:** Uh, my calculations is like...
- 11 <u>Paul Kugelman, Jr.</u>: Well, ya'll can't even figure out how much, nobody can even figure out
- who owns what. So I don't know how you're going to resolve this.
- 13 Mark Swartz: No. I think we're in agreement. No, they have a 90 acre tract and 20 acres of that
- tract are in this unit. I don't think there's an argument.
- 15 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, okay.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** The entire acreage is not in the unit.
- 17 **Donald Joyce:** I've done the math. There's a total, little pieces of well snips. The land was
- originally two or three different tracts. You know, I done the math earlier. It's 37% of the tract
- 19 we own... my brother, myself, and my dad; 37 percent.
- 20 Mark Swartz: On an 80-acre unit, there would 25%, so.
- 21 **Donald Joyce:** I don't know of another well unit effecting this. I'm not sure.
- 22 Mary Quillen: But you can only, Mr. Chairman, you can only speak for yours.
- 23 **Donald Joyce:** I understand.
- 24 Mary Quillen: And that's what we need is yours, because you can't speak for these other people
- unless you're an attorney.
- **Donald Joyce:** I understand that. I'm just putting on notice.
- 27 Mary Quillen: We just need to know yours. This is what we're dealing with, is what yours is.

- 1 **Donald Joyce:** We own shares. We're not, you own this piece, he owns this piece, for, you
- 2 know.
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** There's not anything that we would rather do than be able to give you your money,
- 4 believe me.
- 5 **Donald Joyce:** I'm just wanting not to be forced before I can complete the negotiations or just
- 6 drop it. That's all I'm asking.
- 7 **Donnie Rife:** I don't think you've got a choice on that. It'll go into escrow and then they'll.
- 8 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: No, there's no ownership issue here. There's nothing going into escrow.
- 9 He just doesn't want to be force pooled.
- 10 **Donnie Rife:** I don't think you have a choice on that, sir. I'm sorry.
- 11 Anita Duty: We can always dismiss him from the pool.
- 12 Mark Swartz: If we reach an agreement.
- 13 Anita Duty: You're not treated any different because you're force pooled. The only thing is, if
- 14 you want to sell your interest, that's something different. We can always dismiss.
- Donald Joyce: Well, the thing is, if I am force pooled, I am responsible for 125% of the cost
- share of the well.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** No, you're not.
- 18 **Donnie Rife:** No, you are not.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** That's only if you want to become a carried operator or a participating operator.
- 20 You don't...
- 21 **Donald Joyce:** I misunderstand.
- 22 Anita Duty: You don't get that option is you don't sell or if you don't lease.
- 23 Mark Swartz: [Over talk] Don't sell.
- **Donnie Rife:** If I had property in the Marcellus, I sure would want to be.
- **Donald Joyce:** Marcellus, according to U.S.G.S., is underneath us.
- 26 **Donnie Ratliff:** There's none done here.
- 27 **Donnie Rife:** None.
- 28 Mary Quillen: No, we don't deal with that here in Virginia.

- 1 <u>Donnie Ratliff:</u> You've got to get over in Bluefield before you go back into Marcellus.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, we need to take action so I'll need a motion. Oh no, Mark's not finished.
- 3 We need to go into [Inaudible]
- 4 Mark Swartz: I was thinking great. We're really moving now.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Ratliff.
- 6 Mark Swartz: This is sweet! Okay, didn't quite work out but I wasn't going to argue with you.
- 7 Alright. Anita, would you state your name for us, please?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for?
- 10 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And are you here on behalf of the applicant today?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And is this a pooling application?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay, and it pertains to what unit?
- 16 Anita Duty: Y47.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Sorry. What unit are we talking out?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Y47.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Y. Okay. Sorry. And is that unit in the Oakwood Field?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And how many acres are in the unit?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** 80.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay, and who is the applicant?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** CNX Gas Company.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And is that a limited liability company?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Is it authorized, is it licensed in Virginia and authorized to do business in
- 2 Virginia?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Has the applicant registered with the DMME and the DGO?
- 5 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Does it have a blanket bond on file, with regard to its oil and gas operation?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Have you provided a notice to the people you're seeking to pool today, that there
- 9 would be a hearing today?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And how did you do that?
- Anita Duty: We mailed, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on August 19, 2016.
- Published the notice and location map in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on August 24, 2016.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay, and have you attached your certificates, with regard to mailing and with
- regard to publication?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And have you filed that or uploaded that into the e-file system?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: With regard to the notice here in E47, if you go to that, it looks like we have, it's
- at page 34 of 42 in pdf, at least the one I have. It looks like we have, we mailed to Tyler Kent
- 21 Richardson and he moved and left no address and we mailed to Francis Rose Webb and she had
- an insufficient address. Everybody else received their mail but we have also published, correct?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay, so we have publication notice for those?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And then, in addition, because rather than come back to this, I will just address it
- 27 now; because of the code, we need to, although we don't need to necessarily pool coal owners
- 28 like we used to do, we are giving them notice under the new law so that they have an opportunity
- 29 to come forward and claim an interest in this unit, correct?

- 1 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And so, we've also provided certification of our notices to the coal owners?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, with regard to the coal owners in this particular unit, they either signed for
- 5 their mail or in one instance, it was hand delivered, so we've provided notice to all of them?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And while we're on that topic, did any of them come forward either with an
- 8 agreement or with evidence that they had commenced proceedings to vindicate an interest?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Okay, so they can all be dismissed based on notice, the passage of 45 days?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Okay, let's go back to the beginning. Have you listed all of the persons that you
- are seeking to pool in Paragraph 7 of the notice and in your application?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add or dismiss any people from that list?
- **Anita Duty:** Well, we were able to lease Barbara Baxter but we have loaded those...
- 17 Mark Swartz: Already. So they should be reflective.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** ...into the system. I don't know if it came through or not.
- 19 Mark Swartz: I think the B2, at least when I pulled it off the website, the B2, the dismissal came
- 20 through.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** It did? Okay.
- 22 Mark Swartz: I think that's already reflective in your paperwork.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And the dismissal there was because we had leased her subsequent to filing these.
- 25 As far as you know, we have a lease, right?
- 26 Anita Duty: That's what I'm being told.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Okay.

- 1 **Anita Duty:** It's an old lease.
- 2 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Is it still effective?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 **Rick Cooper:** That is them right there.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** They may come up and testify.
- 6 Anita Duty: We had dismissed her pooling because we say we have a lease, so I don't know if
- 7 she disagrees.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Barbara Baxter, could you come up please?
- 9 **Barbara Baxter:** I guess so.
- 10 **Donnie Rife:** Sometimes you're better off like that.
- Butch Lambert: Mr. Joyce, you can stay too cause we'll need to ask you some more questions.
- Ms. Baxter, could you state your name for the record, please?
- 13 **Barbara Baxter:** Barbara Baxter. I live in Cedar Bluff, Virginia.
- 14 **Sarah Gilmer:** Ms. Baxter, do you swear and affirm your testimony is the truth, the whole truth,
- and nothing but the truth?
- 16 **Barbara Baxter:** I do.
- Butch Lambert: Ms. Baxter, the testimony is that they, that CNX has signed a lease with you?
- 18 **Barbara Baxter:** No.
- Anita Duty: It looks like it's an older lease that would have been with Scott Hodges, like several
- 20 years ago.
- 21 **Barbara Baxter:** Who's Scott Hodges?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** A Landman that we had.
- 23 Mark Swartz: For many years. Probably left 5-6 years ago. If not more.
- 24 **Barbara Baxter:** This property was left to me by my mother and the lease, I mean the property,
- 25 the deed and everything, really, I didn't even know where the property was until I got this and
- then when it comes to pooling and it said "if you have no disagreements, come to the hearing",
- and I'm here and I really don't know.

- 1 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Ma'am, could you come up to and be sworn if you're going to provide
- 2 information for this? Keep moving you around, don't we, Mr. Swartz?
- **Donnie Rife:** Do you know who Scott Hodges is?
- **Rick Cooper:** Swear her in.
- **Sarah Gilmer:** What is your name, Ma'am?
- **Billy Ann Trent:** Billy Ann Trent.
- 7 Sarah Gilmer: Ms. Trent, do you swear and affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole
- 8 truth, and nothing but the truth?
- **Billy Ann Trent:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** And you're part owner of this?
- 11 <u>Billy Ann Trent:</u> Yes. It was in my mother's name and we're the two heirs to that property.
- **Butch Lambert:** As far as you know, there is no lease?
- **Billy Ann Trent:** No.
- **Donnie Rife:** And you don't know a Scott Hodges either then?
- **Billy Ann Trent:** No.
- **Mary Quillen:** How long ago did your mother pass away?
- **<u>Billy Ann Trent:</u>** 2005.
- **Mary Quillen:** 2005?
- **Bruce Prather:** If it was a 10- year lease, it's expired.
- 20 Mary Quillen: Yeah. Could she have had a lease?
- **Billy Ann Trent:** No, because Barbara lived with her and I did all of her...
- **Barbara Baxter:** I took care of her until she died and she did the financial.
- **Bruce Prather:** On CNX's lease, did Bill have who the adjoining property owners are? That
- 24 would go a long ways in determining whether or not they've got your property. It would be on
- 25 that lease as to who the neighbors are.
- **Billy Ann Trent:** The way you have listed here, I think would be the neighbors to that property.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman?

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff?
- 2 **Donnie Ratliff:** I make a motion we continue this until we get all of this straightened out. Too
- 3 many crutches.
- 4 **Donnie Rife:** We're going to have to have some court direction.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Unless CNX can come up with a lease that's still valid.
- 6 **Donnie Rife:** Well, you can't do that if its over 10 years long.
- 7 **Bruce Prather:** It all depends on what the term is.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion to continue this docket item? Do I have a second?
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chairman.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** I have a second. Any further discussion and how long we want to continue for?
- Donnie Rife: I'd like to get it done as soon as possible because I'm sure these people have a lot
- of questions that they need some answers to.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** 30 days?
- 14 **Donnie Rife:** That would be plenty, Mr. Chairman?
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second to continue for 30 days. Any further
- discussion? [No response] All in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 17 **Board:** Yes.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response] Mr. Swartz and Mr. Joyce, we're going to
- continue this for 30 days in order for them to work out maybe any further agreements that you
- 20 might have and to see if they can locate.
- 21 Mark Swartz: We'll locate the lease and send them a copy of it.
- 22 **Donnie Rife:** We hate to run you guys back but right now we just don't have enough information
- to go forward with it.
- 24 **Barbara Baxter:** That's fine. Like I said. We came on the blind really, basically, and not
- 25 knowing. So anything you can do would be great.
- 26 **Billy Ann Trent:** You really thought we would have receive something else besides this letter
- but we didn't.
- **Donnie Rife:** Yeah. There's a lot of questions that you all need answers to and there's a lot of
- 29 questions we need answers to. But as soon as we get them, we'll move forward.

- 1 <u>Billy Ann Trent:</u> That's wonderful. I appreciate it.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you.
- 3 **Billy Ann Trent:** Thank you.

4 <u>Item Number 4</u>

- 5 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- 6 escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to a portion of
- 7 Tract 1B, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties
- 8 directly to the parties listed in the petition; (3) Dismissal of Coal Owner. This is Docket Number
- 9 VGOB 90-1010-0032-10. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 11 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us.
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for?
- 15 Anita Duty: CNX Gas. CNX Land.
- Mark Swartz: Okay, and you're here on behalf of CNX Gas, LLC, right?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And this is a petition for an order authorizing the disbursement of escrowed
- 19 funds?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And in addition to that, it's a request to be allowed to pay the recipients of the
- disbursements, directly in the future and it's also a request to dismiss a coal owner or coal
- 23 owners, right?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** Pertain to AA8?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And the reason for the request is, essentially, the 36122 coal owners, correct?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And we are seeking to pay a sum of the people that are coal claimants and an
- 2 entitlement to pay under the Virginia Code, correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And to that, we have also notified the coal owners and given them 45 days to
- 5 advise the Board or the operator that they have, either an agreement or a proceeding pending,
- 6 correct?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And is it true that none of the coal owners that we noticed have provided us with
- 9 either an agreement or proof of a pending litigation?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay. And have you provided the Board with a Table 1 that they can give to the
- 12 Escrow Agent, with regard to this disbursement?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And it pertains to Tract 1B?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And it sets forth in the second column to the right-hand side, the percentage that
- the Escrow Agent should use to make the disbursement for each person for who you have
- provided a name and an address. Correct?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And there are three people, three different percentages and that's who the Escrow
- 21 Agent should pay and the percentages they should use?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. With regard to going forward, have you provided a revised Exhibit E that
- reflects the situation if these people are disbursed?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And an EE which shows the pay status going forward?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And, have you provided notifications or proof, with regard to mailing. We have,
- of the three items of mail that were sent, Wanda Coleman received hers; Billy Ray Thacker, she
- 3 received that one; and Bonnie Street, it came back as returned, correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Do you have a W9 from Bonnie?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: If I leave it to the Board, in terms of what you're going to do with that. If you
- 8 want to direct the Escrow Agent to pay her because we have a W9 or if you want to hold that,
- 9 we'll modify the Exhibit if we have to. Whatever you prefer in that regard, we'll defer that for a
- moment. With regard to Exhibit J, did you do a reconciliation of the account?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And when you did that, did you look for a deposit for every payment that the
- 13 operator made?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And were you able to find a deposit for every payment?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay, and when you compared the bank balance, it looks like the end of March
- of this year, and did your calculations, was there a difference?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** There's \$1,771.13 more in the account.
- 20 Mark Swartz: In what First Bank and Trust shows compared to what you show?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: You did find one correction that was made of \$340.18 and you've noted that.
- 23 Correct?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: But other than having straightened that out, you cannot account for the \$1,771.13
- 26 difference. Correct?
- 27 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 28 Mark Swartz: And this is the first, Mr. Cooper's office processing many, many requests that
- 29 you don't see. You only see the ones that are a problem. So it's going to look today like every

- one of these accounts is messed up. Well, that's because you see all the ones that are messed up.
- You never see any of the ones that aren't. Okay? So this is the first of a number of differences.
- 3 Sometimes more, sometimes less, but I think you have a policy that Mr. Cooper's supposed to
- 4 bring everything over \$500, I think, to your attention, so that's why this one is here. With regard
- 5 to the coal owner, it looks like you provided notice to Harrison-Wyatt, correct?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And we're asking, in addition to the disbursements that you made direct pay,
- 8 we're also asking that Harrison-Wyatt be dismissed from this unit as a coal owner?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: That it.
- 11 **Bruce Prather:** Is this a partial payment of this escrow account or is this a total?
- 12 Mark Swartz: It's a partial. The way you can quickly tell, there is one here in this collection
- today. If our Exhibit E says the account can be closed, and there's one of those, the Exhibit E
- sort of answers that question, and here's there's a pretty extensive Exhibit E, so we're going to
- have escrow going forward. At least for some period of time.
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** Any others questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further Mr.
- 17 Swartz?
- 18 Mark Swartz: Just for your purposes, the W9 address is the same that we mailed to them so
- we're just at a dead end there.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Anything further?
- 21 Mark Swartz: No.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion to proceed with the disbursement accepting the W9 as
- 23 notification?
- **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval to accept the W9 as notification, Mr. Chair.
- 25 **Donnie Ratliff:** Second.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 28 **Board:** Yes.
- 29 **Butch Lambert:** Any opposed? [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 30 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

<u>Item Number 5</u>

- 2 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- 3 escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 16B,
- 4 as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore
- 5 deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to previously disbursed Tract 6A, as
- 6 depicted upon the annexed table; and (3) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the
- 7 parties listed in the petition; (4) Dismissal of Coal Owners. This is Docket Number VGOB 91-
- 8 0716-0135-03. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Anita, would you state your name for us again, please?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And you're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And this is on petition for a disbursement for escrow pertaining to drilling unit
- 16 BUN1?

1

- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And the reasons for the request are we have gas claimant now prevailing under
- 19 the Virginia Code as amended; we have royalty split agreement accounting for some of the
- 20 disbursement, correct?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: If you go to your tables, we have a Table 1, which is an exact dollar amount.
- 23 Correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And that directs the escrow agent to make two disbursements of \$34,244.24 to,
- one to Rockport Oil and Gas and the address is set forth there; and an additional disbursement of
- 27 the same amount to CNX Gas Company, and there's an address there. Correct?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 29 Mark Swartz: And the reason for the exact dollar disbursement is what?

- 1 Anita Duty: The tract should have been disbursed in a prior order and now we're going to
- 2 correct it so we just need to make the one-time payment to correct escrow.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And Table 2, there is a payment, and that's a percentage payment, correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And that would need to occur after the Table 1 disbursements are made?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And that goes to Norfolk Southern and you've provided an address?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And Norfolk Southern is to get 8.8551% of the balance in the account at the time
- the disbursement is made. Correct?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: You have provided an Exhibit E which shows a need for escrow going forward,
- 13 correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: A EE, which shows the pay status going forward?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And you have provided proofs, with regard to notice to the people receiving the
- disbursements and everybody got that. Correct?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Okay. And we have a reconciliation and when we get to the bottom line there, we
- 21 have a, again, CNX is showing more than the April bank balance. Correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** I think it's less.
- 23 Mark Swartz: If you look, they've got \$300, you've got \$303.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** The bank has \$300.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Oh, you're saying...I'm sorry. I misspoke. So your calculations show that the
- bank should have \$2,881.12 more than they're reporting.

- 1 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Sorry. When you went through the bank deposits and your list of payments that
- 3 you had made as the operator, we're you able to find a deposit for every check?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** We were.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Do you have any explanation for the difference? Another one of those problem
- 6 amounts. You mailed to coal owners?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And you mailed a couple of times, correct?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And you have a certification of notice showing two mailings in 2015 and one in
- 11 May 2016. Correct?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Did you receive back from any of the coal owners, in response to your 45-day
- 14 notices that they had either reached an agreement that they wanted to rely on or have commenced
- to proceeding?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Under the circumstances then, they should all be dismissed?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Or determined to be dismissed. That's all I have on this one, Mr. Chairman.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 21 **Donnie Ratliff:** Does this close the...Mr. Chairman? Does this close the escrow account?
- 22 Mark Swartz: It does not.
- 23 **Bruce Prather:** One question. On this Rockport Oil and Gas, did they have an Oil and Gas lease
- that expired on this property? Is that where their interest came in for the coal owners?
- 25 Anita Duty: It came through, there's several assignments from the coal owner. It originally
- started out with Hugh McRae Land Trust. They signed, well, it says assignment and bill of sale.
- 27 All this is in the Exhibit. Then it goes to Torch, then Torch in 2011, then an assignment to the

- investments; then in 2014, Torch signed an additional interest to Rockport Oil and Gas and
- 2 Chisos Limited. So it's like, they just keep on conveying like an override.
- 3 Mary Quillen: So this is an old assignment, then?
- 4 Anita Duty: The assignments are new but the, like I said, the property was originally owned by
- 5 [Indiscernible 33:37] Land Trust, the coal interest.
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** Yeah. Okay.
- 7 Mark Swartz: But this is a split agreement. The CNX/Rockport is a split agreement, so that's
- 8 why coal is relevant.
- 9 **Mary Quillen:** Right.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Which is probably what caused you to ask that question.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 13 Mark Swartz: No.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 15 **Donnie Rife**: Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 16 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and second. Any further discussion? [No response] All in
- 18 favor, signify by saying yes.
- 19 **Board:** Yes.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.
- 22 <u>Item Number 6</u>
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** There is listed an Anna R. Lambert, in the disbursements. The Chairman has no
- 24 knowledge of Anna R. Lambert, but just to be on the safe side, I'm going to excuse myself and
- ask Mr. Ratliff if he will handle that one.
- 26 **Donnie Ratliff:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for 1) the disbursement of escrowed
- funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 68, 68B, 68C,

- 1 74A, 75A, 75B, 77C, 77E, 78 & 79C, as depicted on the table; and (2) authorization to begin
- 2 paying royalties directly to Coal Mountain Mining Company, and those listed. Docket Number
- 3 VGOB 92-0421-0217-05. All parties interested, may proceed.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Anita, would you state your name for us again, please?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 7 Mark Swartz: You're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: With regard to a request to a disbursement from Drilling Unit SLW9?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And the relief we're seeking is, first of all, a disbursement as described in Table
- 12 1; then an authorization to pay the folks receiving the disbursement directly in the future; and
- lastly, to resolve some coal owner claims by dismissal. Correct?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And the relief sought was caused by or the reasons for the relief is we have some
- 16 royalty split agreements, correct?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And in addition, we have some gas claimants who can be paid now under the
- 19 Virginia Code, as amended, correct?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Alright, and in that regard, with regard to the gas claimants under the code, have
- 22 you provided notice, 45-day notices to the coal owners?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay, we'll get to that in a moment. This looks like a panel unit. Right?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes. It is.
- 26 **Mark Swartz:** And a pretty extensive Table 1. Correct?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And on Table 1, have you listed the various tracts that we're asking for a
- 2 disbursement from?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And within each of those tracts, have you provided a name and provided an
- 5 address for the person who should receive each disbursement within each of these tracts?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And in the second column from the right-hand side, have you given a percentage
- 8 for each person?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: And if the Board chooses to approve this, should the Board instruct the escrow
- agent to use the percentage for each person or company in the column, second from the right;
- apply that percentage to the balance on hand when the disbursement is being made; cut the check
- and mail it to each company or person identified by name and address?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And then going forward, do we request the ability to pay all those people
- 16 directly?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And then, have you provided the Board with a revised Exhibit E that shows that
- we need to continue to have an escrow account but that reflects a shorter list of people, because
- of the people we're paying.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And then an EE, which reflects the payments that is going forward, in the event
- 23 this petition is approved?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Okay. Certification of notice and we have everybody signed for the mail except
- 26 for two people; one, we have, looks like Ralph Douglas Keen, we have an unclaimed piece of
- 27 mail. Correct?
- 28 **Anita Duty:** Ralph Keen is deceased.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And that's why it's unclaimed, I guess. Okay. And then the last person is Janet M
- 2 Willett, we've got another in transit, which means the post office isn't telling us what happened.
- 3 Correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Okay. With regard to those two persons on the notice and on Table 1, are you
- 6 requesting permission to remove those form the payment status?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. Then we've got an Exhibit J. With regard to that reconciliation, did you or
- 9 someone on your behalf, go through all of the checks that the operator cut and try to locate a
- 10 deposit?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes
- 12 Mark Swartz: And were you able to do?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- Mark Swartz: And in any event it looks like when you do your math and First Bank reports its
- 15 May 2016 balance, there's a difference, correct?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And the bank shows several hundred dollars more than you do?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** They do.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And what amount, precisely?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** \$429.85.
- 21 Mark Swartz: [Pause] Just trying to figure out if we've already dismissed these coal owners,
- and that's what's going on here. It looks like we were here in January for disbursement that may
- 23 not have processed yet, okay? And they would have been dismissed at that time. That's what I
- was looking for. Anita's found that order but we need to state that in this order. Remember the
- issue that we had with that? Okay, so we're good. Looks like the noticed them before the January
- hearing and that order hasn't been accounted for because it, apparently, hasn't been paid yet for
- some reason. I'm thinking.
- 28 **Anita Duty:** I think it has been paid.
- 29 Mark Swartz: Well, it didn't get on your accounting.

- 1 Anita Duty: I gotcha.
- 2 Mark Swartz: It just isn't on her accounting.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** We just didn't subtract that in the balance.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Okay. We're good on the coal owners. I just wanted to make sure we didn't miss
- 5 something in there.
- 6 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Just to make sure the records clear, the coal owners that may be subject to
- 7 this petition, were on notice of disbursement of these tracts due to a prior petition?
- 8 Mark Swartz: In a prior petition and were dismissed at that time.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: For the same tracts?
- 10 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 11 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Okay. Do we remember what that was, just for clarity of reference? And if
- not, I understand.
- 13 Mark Swartz: The January hearing, I can go back to it.
- 14 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: That's okay. I just thought you might have it off the top of your head, to
- make it easy if we had to go back in the record.
- Anita Duty: [Over talk] disbursement, so it probably happened, maybe several disbursements
- 17 ago. Or '05, I mean.
- 18 Mark Swartz: But the one, or you can just show them is January of this year.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** What the number is?
- 20 Mark Swartz: It was entered.
- 21 Anita Duty: Right. And it's the '04 order. It's the prior order.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Right, but the disbursement was made in January.
- 23 **Sarah Gilmer:** It was made out April.
- Anita Duty: We just didn't put it on our Exhibit J. We just didn't show the total payout from the
- 25 '04 disbursement on that Exhibit J.
- 26 Mark Swartz: To sort of flesh out...I'm not sure I've had this discussion with you. I certainly
- 27 had it with Rick and Sarah. In the beginning, when we were making disbursements, we would

- 1 come in and we would file the petition that said we want a disbursement; we want to be able to
- 2 pay these people directly; and we want you to dismiss coal owners. So you've seen this all. The
- form order on the E forms, that was in place at that point, because we're limited and so are they,
- 4 what we can use, wouldn't dismiss the coal owners. So you would make a motion to dismiss the
- 5 coal owners, as a Board, and you would vote on that and you would grant that motion, but then
- 6 the order that the computer system would enter, would not dismiss the coal owners. Because it
- 7 wasn't an option at that point. We have since gone in and they've got their programmers and
- 8 square that away, so what's going to happen here is we will state in this order that that happened
- 9 in January. I mean, we'll go back to that.
- 10 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Is there any way to pull up a disbursement order, the prior disbursement
- order for these?
- 12 **Rick Cooper:** We've got it pulled up.
- 13 Mark Swartz: I've got it. We just looked at it.
- **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. When was it entered?
- 15 Mark Swartz: January, something. Anita's got it here. It was January...
- 16 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: And you say the order itself does not dismiss the coal owners?
- 17 Mark Swartz: Right. You guys did.
- 18 Anita Duty: The language doesn't. Where it's a form order; it was created by E-forms.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right. Okay.
- 20 **Mark Swartz:** It was entered January 26th.
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** Paid out in April. Correct, Sarah?
- 22 **Sarah Gilmer:** It was paid out in April.
- Mark Swartz: And then this order will then clean that up, but that's why we haven't noticed
- these people, because they were already dismissed.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Is there any way we can just make sure this order incorporates, or
- references, the order, the January order, so that we...
- 27 **Sarah Gilmer:** [Inaudible]
- 28 **Paul Kugelman, Jr:** Okay. I just don't see those so I'm not exactly sure what's in them.

- 1 **Sarah Gilmer:** It was signed off on January 26th.
- 2 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Yeah. Okay, do you have, since we're putting this on the record, do you
- 3 have the Docket Number, the Order Number, or something so that it's in the transcript?
- 4 Sarah Gilmer: The Docket Number is 92-0421-0217-04.
- 5 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Okay. Now we can just have it all in one place in the transcript. Thank
- 6 you.
- 7 Mark Swartz: What we're trying to do, cause I'm not sure if, you know, if we are going to have
- 8 to, I thought we had dealt with what those orders needed to say when this happens and I think we
- 9 all have language for that, but we need to make sure when we draft those orders. Cause we have
- 10 had this discussion months ago and were kind of waiting for this to happen to clean up the
- record. They apparently, have a solution which we've been following, but we needed to do that
- so we don't have to notice coal owners in the future that have been dismissed.
- 13 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right. We don't need duplicate notice for the same tracts.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Right.
- 15 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: As long as the interests are identical.
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** They are.
- 17 **Donnie Ratliff:** Anything else, Mr. Swartz?
- 18 Mark Swartz: No, I do not. Now that we've resolved that.
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** Any questions from the Board?
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** Mr. Chairman, I have one thing to add. So for clarity and for the record, Mr.
- 21 Grady Horn, who is a public comment this morning, wanted to know where his money was. He
- is in this Docket Number here, and I had talked to him about that, so, he was curious as to where
- his money was so it's been heard today and I've talked to him. So we have resolved that issue
- 24 that he mentioned earlier on the public comments.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: And he has departed?
- **Rick Cooper:** And he has departed, but he is aware it would be 60-90 days to receive his funds.
- 27 **Sarah Gilmer:** And the coal owner dismissal issue has been put on the order as an Item Number
- 28 3.
- 29 **Donnie Ratliff:** Anything else from the Board? Do I have a motion?

- 1 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 2 <u>Donnie Ratliff:</u> That motion would include taking out Ralph Douglas Keen and Janet Willett?
- 3 That would remove them?
- 4 **Donnie Rife:** Right.
- 5 **Donnie Ratliff:** Do I have a second?
- 6 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 7 **Donnie Ratliff:** All in favor?
- 8 **Board:** Yes.
- 9 **Donnie Ratliff:** Those opposed? [No response]
- 10 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion carried.
- 11 <u>Item Number 7</u>
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 2, as
- depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the
- parties listed in the petition; (3) Dismissal of Coal Owner(s). This is Docket Number VGOB 92-
- 16 0721-0234-01. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us again?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 21 Mark Swartz: You're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, with regard to this?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And it's a request for disbursement to direct pay and dismissal of coal owners
- pertaining to Unit Y20?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: The reasons why we're here today are, we have some people who are gas
- 27 claimants under the Virginia Act and are now entitled to payment. Correct?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And we have given notice with the coal owners? Correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And have you prepared a Table 1?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And on Table 1, have you identified the tract, which is Tract 2, and every person
- 7 by name and addressed who is to receive a disbursement?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And for each person, have you given a percentage which in this instance, is
- identical for everybody?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: That the escrow agent is to use to apply to the balance on hand at the time the
- 13 checks are cut?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Are you asking for permission to pay these people directly in the future, if they
- receive these disbursements, or if these disbursements are paid?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And have you provided a revised Exhibit E, which shows the need for an escrow
- 19 going forward, but obviously doesn't include the people we're paying?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And have you included a revised Exhibit EE, which shows the pay status of this
- unit, going forward?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Have you provided data, with regard to publication?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And here we're got everyone picked up their notice except the last person,
- 27 Francis Hinchee-Sterans, correct?

- 1 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Do you have a W9 from that person?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- 4 Mark Swartz: What's the date of that?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** November.
- 6 Mark Swartz: 4th of 2015, so less than a year away. Did you do reconciliation, with regard to
- 7 this account?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Did you identify all of the checks that were paid to several escrow agents by the
- 10 operator?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And did you go look for a deposit?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And were you able to find all of the deposits?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** With the exception of one.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay, and which one was that?
- Anita Duty: In April 1996, there was a deposit of \$273.63 on our side, but we didn't find any
- 18 evidence it was deposited on the banks side.
- 19 **Mark Swartz:** In this account?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And then you also, there's an email exchange, before we get to that, when you
- compared your calculations of what CNX thought the total should be to the April 2016 First
- Bank and Trust balance, was there a difference?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** The bank still had \$622.11 more than our calculations.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Before we move on to some emails about that, at the moment, this is no longer
- being paid as a frack unit, but it's going into VP8 Seal Gob 1, right?

- 1 Anita Duty: It is.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Okay. And then moving on to some emails, it looks like you and the DGO have
- 3 been back and forth, to some extent, trying to figure out what is causing the discrepancy, right?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And there's an email from you to Sarah, but I guess actually to Brad Boyd, and
- 6 copied to Sarah, right?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And what does it say? Your email.
- 9 Anita Duty: Reviewing the reconciled report, there appears to be two payments reported at the
- 10 bank on 9/30/1993 for \$418.41 and another 10/31/1993 for \$380.28 that we do not show we sent.
- 11 That would be where the error occurred if you are asking for my opinion. So I really can't
- explain what the escrow agent did, I can only report what we find. I hope that helps.
- 13 Mark Swartz: So there are two deposits that you didn't send checks for, right?
- 14 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And then there was one deposit where you sent a check and you couldn't find a
- 16 deposit?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And that's at least from our standpoint, those are the three differences we kind of
- identify and it's seems like it's probably an escrow agent issue. That's where we are.
- 20 Mary Quillen: Mr. Chairman, was this when our immediate, past escrow agent or two agents
- 21 before that?
- 22 Mark Swartz: Oh, it was '93.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** The original agent.
- 24 Mary Quillen: Oh, this was the original agent.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Tazewell National Bank.
- 26 Mark Swartz: This was '93.
- 27 Mary Quillen: Yeah. Okay.

- 1 Mark Swartz: So that would have been the original escrow agent.
- 2 Mary Quillen: Okay. That was the original escrow agent?
- 3 Mark Swartz: Notices to the coal owners, right?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Notices four coal owners. The mailing on, looks like three different dates and
- 6 they all received their mail?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Have you received anything back from any of them, indicating either an
- 9 agreement that they want to rely on or a proceeding or loss that they want to rely on?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 11 Mark Swartz: So they should be dismissed?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** They should.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And that's all I have.
- **Butch Lambert:** At the end of our docket, there's a letter signed by Ms. Duty. It says it's to a
- coal owner, under House Bill 2058 but it doesn't have anybody listed.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** On the...
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Do you have a form letter?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** If you look on the original affidavit...
- 19 Mark Swartz: Which is a couple pages...
- 20 Anita Duty: ...a couple pages ahead.
- 21 Mark Swartz: It's Page 32 of 36.
- 22 Anita Duty: Right and #2 we say "we affirm that the coal owner is listed in the unit and properly
- 23 noticed under the code." See Annex Letter, it should be annexed example letter dated. Those are
- 24 just, we did not...
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: You're just providing a form letter that you used to notify the coal owners?

- 1 Anita Duty: Right, cause we did not keep copies of the original coal owner letters, and we were
- 2 asked...
- 3 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: The way the systems designed it spits out the letter and then it doesn't keep
- 4 a copy of it?
- 5 Mark Swartz: It does a mail merge. So we've got a letter that we send to everybody and we put
- 6 the addresses on.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** You don't keep a copy?
- 8 Anita Duty: If we kept copies of everything. I mean, right now, we're like overloaded with
- 9 paper. I mean, there is...
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** You all every heard of electronics?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** We didn't keep a copy, so that's the best...
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Could be an issue without copies.
- 13 Anita Duty: I mean, we have certified mail receipts.
- 14 Mark Swartz: I mean, if you look, we have a receipt for that piece of mail on a date. I mean, we
- can prove we mailed something to them that day and the testimony would be, it's always this
- letter. I understand where you're coming from.
- Anita Duty: I mean, I understand we didn't do it. I mean, I can't recreate something I don't
- 18 have.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** I understand.
- 20 Anita Duty: I mean, I guess we could recreate it but that wouldn't be...
- 21 Mark Swartz: That would be a recreation.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** That would be a recreation.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Right. I'm giving you the best thing I have right now.
- 24 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: A Dateline NBC simulation.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 27 Swartz?

- 1 Mark Swartz: No.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 4 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion. Do I have a second?
- 5 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 7 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 8 **Board:** Yes.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz, that one is approved.
- 11 <u>Item Number 8</u>
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1, 4,
- 4 & 6, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly
- to the parties listed in the petition. This is Docket Number VGOB 92-0721-0235-02. All parties
- wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us again.
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 21 Mark Swartz: You're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And we're talking about Unit X20?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And the release that we're seeking is the ability to make some disbursements
- 26 from the escrow account; authorization to direct pay the recipients of those disbursements, going

- 1 forward; and we're dealing with the dismissal of some coal owners under the Virginia Act, as
- 2 amended. Correct?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: The reason or reasons that we're here today, we've got some gas claimants under
- 5 the Act, who are now entitled to payment?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And we have given 45-day notices to their conflicting coal owners, and as of
- 8 today, we haven't received either an agreement from those coal owners, that they want to rely on
- 9 to assert a claim or notice that they've commenced a lawsuit. Correct?
- 10 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 11 Mark Swartz: We have provided a Table 1, which would be directions to the escrow agent?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: It identifies the three tracts?
- 14 Anita Duty: It does.
- 15 Mark Swartz: For each person, we would like to see paid, we give a name and an address.
- 16 Correct?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And the row for each person or company with their name and address, we've
- 19 provided a percentage in the columns second from the right. Is that correct?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And the escrow agent should be instructed to use those percentages for each
- person receiving a disbursement, apply it to the balance on hand, when the checks are cut and
- that will give the escrow agent the amount to send in the check. Correct?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: We are still going to need escrow and we have a revised Exhibit E that reflects
- 26 that?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And we're going to have a longer list of people we can pay, so an Exhibit EE
- 2 reflects the going forward payments?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: We have a certificate with regard to mailing and here we have Harold V. Cooke,
- 5 as unclaimed, right?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And I assume you have a W9 from him?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: When did we get that?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** October 22, 2015.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay, so again, not quite a year?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay. Did you do a reconciliation?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And did you seek to identify all the checks that the operator sent to one or more
- of the escrow agents?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And then, did you look for a corresponding deposit?
- 19 Anita Duty: We did.
- 20 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Were you able to find one?
- 21 Anita Duty: We were able to find them for the majority, but some in the earlier days, like in
- 22 1993, that amounts didn't match, exactly. The amounts were off a little.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay, and that would have been with the original escrow agent?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: The one that we have limited records?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And when you do your math, compare it to the October 1st Bank and Trust
- 3 balance, what was the difference?
- 4 Anita Duty: The bank is showing \$618.24 less than what our calculations shows.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And you reported that on your Exhibit J?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And with regard to coal owner dismissals, we have, actually two sets of notices
- 8 here, I think. If I'm not mistaken. Let me look.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** We have several.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Right. I mean, but I think we mailed a couple of times because...
- Anita Duty: We were having trouble finding that Stephanie Mullins-Williams, and we finally
- did, after four attempts.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay, so let's look at the first mailing. So you've got certification of mailing and
- it's at Page 29 of 62? Here, this one?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you were able to, well you couldn't apparently, it was an undeliverable to
- 17 Stephanie Mullins-Williams, correct?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And then, there was also a subsequent mailing to her and was returned?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And then mailed a third time to her and you got it?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Finally.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: And then, we have a Robert Barker and Thomas Mullins. You mailed it and then
- several weeks later it appears that you learned that they were deceased?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: I think that you re-mailed to their heirs, if I'm not mistaken?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** I think so.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Let's look through here and find that. Oaky, it's at Page 45 of 62. Can you read
- 5 this?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And there, that indicates it was identified by three heirs for Robert Barker and
- 8 three heirs for Thomas Mullins, Sr. You mailed to all of them and they all signed for their mail
- 9 so we got that squared away?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And the proofs of mailing are behind that?
- Anita Duty: Yes. I think this one was one that was originally submitted to Mr. Cooper, and I
- think it was rejected back to us several times because of the coal owner notice and we continued
- to try and find them. Then, in addition to that, the account was short, so that's how it ended up on
- the docket. It wasn't originally planned that way.
- 16 Mark Swartz: I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Just a matter for the record, Mr.
- 18 Swartz, under your release sought in the petition, it does not include request to dismiss coal
- 19 owners.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Probably should go back to the very beginning. Correct.
- 21 **Donnie Rife:** Is it intentional?
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Yes, it was intentional.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** To put it in?
- 24 Mark Swartz: No. We are not asking for it.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yeah. Yeah we are.
- 26 Mark Swartz: No. You didn't ask for it hear and you have no mailings. If you don't have a
- 27 mailing...

- 1 Anita Duty: Affidavit of mailing for coal owner. Yes, we did do it.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Where is that?
- 3 Anita Duty: That was the people you were just talking about.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It's actually an heirship coal owner.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Oh, okay. It's an heirship coal owner. Okay.
- 7 Anita Duty: So we do need to dismiss the coal owner, and we did ask for it.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. Anita has it right. I have it wrong. Sorry. We'll go back, those two
- 9 Exhibits that I went through were notices to coal owners and there is a desire, as in the caption of
- the complaint rather than the relief requested, to dismiss those owners. So now I need to ask this
- question as well: did any of these folks that you eventually tracked down, any of these coal
- owners you eventually tracked down in multiple mailings, provide you either with an agreement
- that they wanted to rely on as a coal owner or proof of a lawsuit?
- 14 Anita Duty: No.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Thank you.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Any other questions form the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 18 Swartz?
- 19 Mark Swartz: No.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 21 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair, and the dismissal of the coal owners.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion, do I have a second?
- 23 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 24 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor signify by saying yes.
- 26 **Board:** Yes.
- 27 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]

1 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

2 Item Number 9

- 3 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- 4 escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1 &
- 5 1A, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly
- 6 to the parties listed in the petition; (3) Dismissal of Coal Owner(s). Docket Number VGOB 92-
- 7 0721-0240-06. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 10 Mark Swartz: State your name for us, please?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- Mark Swartz: And you're here today on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And we are talking about a proposed disbursement from Unit W20?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And we are looking for a disbursement of authorization to direct pay certain
- people going forward and a dismissal of some coal owners that we've noticed?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And the reason we're here are we now have gas claimants status under the
- 20 Virginia Gas and Oil Act and are entitled to payment?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And we've noticed they're conflicting coal owners and haven't received
- responses with evidence of either an agreement or a proceeding?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** If we go through here we have a Table 1?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And in that Table 1, you have identified the tracts from which disbursements
- 2 should be made?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And you, for each payment of a line or row for each payment or company that is
- 5 to receive a disbursement and you've provided their address?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And the second column from the right, you've given the escrow agent a
- 8 percentage?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And the escrow agent should be directed to apply that percentage to the balance
- on hand at the time the disbursement is made to calculate the amount?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And you're asking for an ability as operator to pay these people directly in the
- 14 future?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you've provided a revised Exhibit E, which removes the recipient's names
- from the escrow requirement?
- 18 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And you've provided a revised Exhibit EE, which put these people on pay going
- 20 forward?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And you've got an affidavit of mailing and you're mailing two out of the three,
- 23 indicates it was returned, mailed to Leslie Cooke; returned, and then re-mailed, and you got
- 24 Leslie?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Okay, so actually, you solved your problem?
- 27 Anita Duty: We did.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay. Then, if we go to Exhibit J, I have another account where there is, did you
- 2 look for all the checks that the operator sent to an escrow agent?
- 3 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And then did you look for deposits?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Were you able to find a deposit for every check or were there some issues there?
- 7 Anita Duty: We were, with some variances in the amounts.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay, so you found the checks or you found deposits for the checks?
- 9 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: But then when you do the total, you compare the totals, there's still a difference?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** There is.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Okay. And what's the difference between your calculation and the banks' balance
- in April 2016.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** The bank is showing \$1,513.87 more.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And then you've got an asterisks there that discusses...
- Anita Duty: Some of those are earlier deposits in '93. We had to base it on estimates.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay, then you've mailed to the coal owners on several occasions, correct?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And you have provided your certification of notice with regard to the coal owners
- and it looks like you've got every one of them?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And you would like them to be dismissed, correct?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?

- 1 Mark Swartz: No.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair, with the dismissal of the coal owners.
- 4 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 6 in favor signify by saying yes.
- 7 **Board:** Yes.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Thank you.
- 11 <u>Item Number 10</u>
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 1B, as
- depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the
- parties listed in the petition; (3) Dismissal of Coal Owner. Docket Number VGOB 93-0216-
- 16 0326-03. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Thank you. State your name, please.
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And are you here today on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 22 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: With regard to a petition pertaining to Unit Q42?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And we're asking for the escrow agent to make some disbursements; we're
- asking for the right to pay the people who receive the disbursements directly in the future; and
- we're asking to dismiss some coal owners?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And the reason we're here, in terms of the reason behind the request, we have
- 3 Norfolk Southern as a gas claimant in one of the tracts, correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And we're giving the coal owners their notices?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And we have not received either evidence of an agreement or evidence of a
- 8 proceeding from the coal owners, so we've resolved that conflict?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And you've provided, I assume the Table 1, yes you have. Page 6 of 22. Norfolk
- 11 Southern Railway Company, c/o Pocahontas Land Corporation, should receive the disbursement;
- we've provided an address; and they're to get 100% of the money on hand, when the
- disbursement is made?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And this is the one instance that Mr. Prather was asking about, where the escrow
- requirement goes away, and Exhibit E says that, right?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. And we have provided an Exhibit EE, indicating that everybody gets paid
- 19 going forward?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: The mailing, you mailed to Norfolk Southern; they got their mail?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** They did.
- 23 Mark Swartz: With regard to Exhibit J, did you identify all the checks that were paid to an
- escrow agent and then try to find a deposit?
- 25 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Were you able to do that?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Was there, however, a pretty significant difference?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** There was.
- 3 **Mark Swartz:** Okay.
- 4 Anita Duty: Well, I take that back. There are some deposits that are missing that are
- 5 unaccounted for, on the bank side.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay. Were those early on?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Actually, it's in...
- 8 Mark Swartz: So you've got one difference in when?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** January 2000.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And you show that you cut a check for what amount?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** \$1,068.77.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And there's no deposit for that?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- Mark Swartz: And then there are some issues back in '94-'95, I think?
- Anita Duty: Yes, with the ending balance. The bank doesn't report the ending balance, probably
- like the beginning balance and the ending balance; if you take the prior balance deposits and then
- the ending balance, it doesn't make sense. So the ending balance are always off a little bit in
- those years.
- 19 Mark Swartz: So between the missing deposit and then that ending balance issue, there is a
- 20 difference of how much?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** \$2,204.38.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And that, the bank is showing that amount, less than what your calculations
- 23 would indicate?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 25 Mark Swartz: For those reasons, at least as far as you know.
- 26 Anita Duty: As far as I know, yes.

- 1 Mary Quillen: Mr. Chairman, what year were those deposits that you couldn't account for? Did
- 2 you say '95?
- 3 Mark Swartz: Just one deposit.
- 4 Anita Duty: The one deposit was January 2000, and I think that was the period of time where we
- 5 transferred from First Virginia to First Union, maybe, or Wachovia. January 2000 is when we
- 6 changed banks or you guys changed banks.
- 7 Mary Quillen: I think it might have been First Union in 2000 because...
- 8 Anita Duty: Cause it would have been First Union before Wachovia, because First Union came
- 9 first.
- 10 Mary Quillen: Yes, it was First Union before Wachovia, so I think it might have been First
- 11 Union.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Well, it would have been whatever came from First Virginia, cause they were most
- likely sent to First Virginia and didn't make it to First Virginia, or however, I don't know. I can't
- speak for it.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Then you mailed to the coal owner McGuire Land Trust?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And they got their mail?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And you're asking that they be dismissed?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 23 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman?
- 24 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 25 **Donnie Ratliff:** Norfolk Southern is going to end up with \$1,944.88. Is that because that's the
- total that's in that account?
- 27 Mark Swartz: Correct?

- 1 **Donnie Ratliff:** So the missing deposits, whoever is the last one out, absorbs that?
- 2 Mark Swartz: Yes and no. Well Anita makes a great point. Norfolk Southern gets 100% of this
- 3 one, so you're absolutely right in this instance, but let's assume that we had an account that was
- 4 short that had multiple people in it, in a series of disbursements, everybody would kind of take
- 5 that hit until you get to the last person, if the account is short.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Most likely, the person that...
- 7 Mark Swartz: In this case, you're absolutely right and it's just one person but in other cases, it
- 8 would be a collective.
- 9 Mary Quillen: So it would be shared by everyone that was...
- 10 Mark Swartz: To some extent, but it wouldn't be equally shared.
- 11 Anita Duty: Probably based on their proportions of shares. Like if they were getting 20% or
- 12 50%, you know, the 50% would be short.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Norfolk Southern can sort of explain why they're not here because we told them
- because if they see this paperwork, we settle a whole bunch of cases with them involving a
- substantial amount of money and have been back and forth with, you know, Rick, about it. It's
- been a long drawn out negotiation title but they're getting a ton of money out of these and I think
- they are just, they're dealing with it, but, it is a problem, you know? And the last comment I
- would make: I don't know, I think you have sort of a found money account that we've been
- 19 maintaining.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** We do have an account with some money and we do not know where they go.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Right, so I guess there's eventually might be an opportunity to deal with some of
- these problems, but currently, there really isn't. You're absolutely right.
- 23 Mary Quillen: So, eventually, when all of this money is disbursed out of this account, then that
- 24 will settle up with any this found money?
- 25 Mark Swartz: Well, this account, you mean the global?
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** Yeah, yeah, the whole escrow account.
- 27 Mark Swartz: I don't think there's a plan for that, but...
- 28 Mary Quillen: Well, I'm being optimistic.
- 29 Mark Swartz: No, no. I don't think there's a plan for having excess money at the end and how
- to disburse that. That's what I'm saying. Not that there isn't a plan to be done. Okay?

- 1 Mary Quillen: Oh, oh, oh. Right. I see what you're saying.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Paying attention over the years, we've seen accounts that are short; we've seen
- 3 some accounts that are overpaid. So hopefully, we'll keep our fingers crossed that it will be a
- 4 wash at the end.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Or it'll be done or closed, hopefully.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** Or closed. Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 7 Mark Swartz: Not on this one?
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 9 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve, Mr. Chair.
- 10 Mary Quillen: Second.
- Butch Lambert: I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor signify by saying yes.
- 13 **Board:** Yes. We're going to take about a five minute, just five minutes and then we'll come right
- back and jump back into it. I've got to take a phone call.
- 15 <u>Item Number 11</u>
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1, 3,
- 18 5, and a portion of 7, 12 & 14, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin
- paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition; (3) Dismissal of Coal Owner(s).
- 20 Docket Number VGOB 95-0418-0500-04. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 22 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, state your name for us.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 25 Mark Swartz: You're here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And we're here about a request for disbursement from Drilling Unit X29?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And in addition to a disbursement from the escrow account, we would like
- 3 authorization for direct pay and a dismissal of coal owners, right?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And the basis for the request or reason for the request is, the application, the act,
- 6 we now have some gas claimants that we can pay?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And we have noticed conflicting coal owners, giving them the 45-day notice.
- 9 Have we received anything in response, any evidence in response of an agreement or a
- proceeding from any of the coal owners?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** We have not.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Let's look at Table 1, it's pretty extensive here, correct?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: It's a percentage disbursement request?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And for the tracts that we're seeking to have disbursed, or a portion, have you
- provided the name of every person and/or company that should receive a check?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Have you provided an address?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And for each person or company, have you provided, in the second column form
- 22 the right-hand side of the sheet, a percentage that the escrow agent should use?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And the escrow agent should use that percentage, apply it to the balance when the
- amount of the check is being calculated, that will generate the check amount; and that's what
- they should use?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And they should be instructed to do that?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** That's correct.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Okay. And then, as a result, if these disbursements are approved, you've got a
- 4 revised Exhibit E, that shows that we're going to need to continue escrow, but obviously, it's a
- 5 much shorter list?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And you've added the people that we're proposing to receive the disbursements,
- 8 to Exhibit EE, to show to put them on pay, going forward?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And it looks like we mailed, everybody got the mail, except Diana Asbury
- 11 refused it, right?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And Randall L. Keen, unclaimed?
- 14 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: I assume you have W9's from both of them?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman?
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff?
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** Ralph Douglas Keen and Janet Willett is on here. You said Ralph was dead? Is
- deceased? And I don't remember why we took Janet Willett. She hadn't accepted her mailing,
- 21 right? She refused to sign for mail.
- 22 Anita Duty: On this one. On the last one, she just didn't pick it up, period.
- 23 Mary Quillen: What about the man that's dead? What about the John, that's passed away?
- 24 **Donnie Ratliff:** Did he sign for it?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Who?
- 26 **Donnie Ratliff:** Did Ralph Douglas Keen sign this time or did someone sign for him?

- Anita Duty: Well, and see, it depends on, he just died recently, so it's like, probably at the time
- 2 we did the notice, it was probably, you know.
- 3 **Mary Quillen:** Okay.
- 4 **<u>Donnie Ratliff:</u>** Okay. I just noticed those two names was passed away.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yeah.
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'm sorry.
- 7 Anita Duty: I know we've had a couple of checks that Jody's re-issued to the heirs because of it
- 8 being so recent. Remember that Ralph Keen? I think there's six heirs. I mean she refuses this
- 9 one, so. I guess that is on her, so I don't know what to do about the previous docket item. We
- 10 have a W9 for her.
- **Butch Lambert:** For?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Janet. And obviously, we've mailed it to the correct place, because she actually
- refused it this time, so. We want to leave her on there?
- **Rick Cooper:** To bring everybody up to, I guess what's complicating this; on Item 6, the same
- two people came up and you were going to remove those two people from the disbursement.
- Now the same two name come up again here and I think Anita's question is, do we leave this
- letter revised Item 6 and move forward, and the same two people are showing up here and so, her
- question is, do we disburse both of them or do we take them out of both of those: 6 and 11?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Can we update to show the heirs of Ralph and...
- 20 Mary Quillen: Well, we took them out of the other one.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** We took them out.
- **Donnie Rife:** We'll have to take them out of this one too.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** That's what I'm saying, we need to do the same thing, I guess.
- 24 Mary Quillen: That's right, yeah, if we took them out of the other one, and then we would have
- 25 to take them out of this one. Correct?
- Anita Duty: Well, in this case, he actually received a notice and the only difference now is, we
- 27 need to pay the heirs rather than pay him. I mean the notice was proper in this one.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Is he the guy that had a well or didn't have a well.

- 1 Anita Duty: This is totally unrelated to any of the other stuff.
- 2 **Donnie Ratliff:** A different day, a different dollar.
- 3 Mark Swartz: This is a different problem.
- 4 Anita Duty: Well, and then, Janet actually refused this, so this petition, actually the way it is,
- 5 even though we know that Ralph Keen's heirs should be paid, should be fine. I don't think we
- 6 need to take anybody off of this one. Unless, just for the sake of being consistent, if you want to
- 7 do both with both dockets, that's fine too.
- 8 Mary Quillen: That's the consistency of it.
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** Or does the check go to Ralph Keen and who'll end up with this, whoever's the
- 10 trustee?
- Anita Duty: Well, we actually have the heirs because this, Ralph Keen has been paid under
- several petitions.
- 13 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Consol. But if you know the heirs...
- 14 **Anita Duty:** We do, and the notice was proper at the time.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Then they'd be listed here though. I'm just saying.
- Anita Duty: This was a petition that was originally sent for Mr. Cooper to approve and because
- of the issue...
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Before Mr. Keen passed away.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** In the meantime, that has happened.
- 20 **Donnie Rife:** Same one.
- 21 Anita Duty: So now it's on the docket and it wasn't originally meant to be on the docket, the
- only reason it's on the docket is because Mr. Cooper put it on here.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** He does have a trustee, right?
- 24 Mark Swartz: Do what?
- Anita Duty: He had a will and he has heirs. We know who his heirs are; we have W9's for his
- 26 heirs.

- 1 Mark Swartz: If he's got a will and he's got an executor, send the check and the executor can
- 2 distribute it.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** That's what I'm saying.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Right. I agree with you.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** That way we don't have to change anything.
- 6 Anita Duty: Well, that's the question: do we do it in both dockets, or do we just do it in this
- 7 one? Do we pay the heirs of Ralph Keen?
- 8 **Donnie Rife:** I think if we do it in one, we have to do it in both of them, Mr. Chairman. I might
- 9 be wrong.
- 10 Mary Quillen: We'd have to go back and revisit, what was it? Item number...
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay, the prior one, he didn't get the mail before he died, this one, he got the
- mail before he died; it got continued.
- 13 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, there's the difference.
- 14 Mark Swartz: So that's the difference. We need to do this one and it's not inconsistent.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** It's not inconsistent, just send this to the executor and we're done with it.
- **Bruce Prather:** He'd have an executor. Let them handle it.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Correct. But this one, we got the mailing, it was delayed for other reasons and
- this should be good to go with the will and the executor. Well, we needed to...
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** That's easy.
- 20 **Mark Swartz:** Now it is.
- 21 Anita Duty: Okay, so in the previous docket...
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** Don't do nothing, because he received it.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: But he didn't receive it.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** He did not receive it.
- 25 Mark Swartz: We just need to leave it the way it is.
- **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: That's why he was taken out of the other one.

- 1 Anita Duty: And the only reason he didn't receive it...
- 2 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** We just need to leave that one the way it is.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: And he received this one so he's on notice and now it goes to his estate.
- 5 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Correct.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** So don't change either one?
- 7 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: No.
- 8 Mark Swartz: No, this is good. We'll make a disbursement here.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Stop. Stop talking. [Laughs]
- 10 Mark Swartz: We're going to make this disbursement, as is.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Got it.
- Mark Swartz: And his estate's going to deal with it. We're going to leave the other one alone
- because, because. I'm just going to leave it at that.
- Sarah Gilmer: So this check will need to be made out to the estate of Ralph D. Keen...
- Butch Lambert: No, no, no. She can just mail it to that, whoever's the executor of his estate will
- 16 receive the check.
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** So six is staying like it was?
- 18 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Janet's staying there because she refused it.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** So you've got to revise the docket?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** No, not this one.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Not this one. This one's good.
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** Okay. Good.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** We're not going to revise this one. We will revise the previous one.

- 1 Mark Swartz: She's good now. Now he's the problem. Okay, it's like a disease that gets passed
- 2 around [laughs]
- 3 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Hopefully it doesn't go all the way.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Yeah, all the way home. Oh, my gosh, yeah. Okay. Exhibit J. We're not done.
- 5 And the reason it's here is because it's over a \$500.00 difference, right?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: So Exhibit J, did you look for your checks; did you look for a corresponding
- 8 deposit; and at the end of the day, how did that go?
- 9 Anita Duty: We have some of the same issues with inconsistent deposit verses, well, our deposit
- verses what the bank records. The numbers are not exactly the same.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay, and are those very early on that we see?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** They are.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay, and then, at the end, you did your calculations and try to come up with
- 14 your estimate of what the balance should be. Correct?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you compared that to the banks reported balance at the end of April 2016
- and there was a difference of what amount?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** \$923.06.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And the bank had a number smaller than your calculation by that amount?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: It look like you have a coal owner affidavit of mailing and you mailed, it looks
- 22 like everybody either got their mail or it was hand delivered, in one instance, correct?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And, I think we previously indicated, you got nothing back from any of these
- 25 people of evidence of an agreement or proceeding?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** That's correct.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** So they should be dismissed?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: That's all I have.
- 3 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 4 Mark Swartz: No.
- 5 **Donnie Ratliff:** Move that we approve what's presented, Mr. Chair.
- 6 **Donnie Rife:** I'll second, Mr. Chair.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 8 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 9 **Board:** Yes.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 11 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 12 <u>Item Number 12</u>
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tract 4B, as
- depicted upon the annexed table; (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties
- listed in the petition; (3) Dismissal of Coal Owner. This is Docket Number VGOB 02-0917-
- 17 1072-03. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And you and I are here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC today?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: This is a petition pertaining to BA100?
- 25 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And we're asking for a disbursements form escrow; direct payoff authorization;
- 2 and dismissal, with regard to some coal owners?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: The reason for the factual basis for the request, we have some people who are
- 5 determined to be gas claimants under the revised Virginia Gas and Oil Act, right?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And then we've got some coal claimants that we want to dismiss that had some
- 8 conflicting claims?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And have you done a Table 1?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And there's one, Fred B. Gent is to receive the disbursement?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And you've provided his address?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you've provided a percentage for the escrow agent to use in calculating a
- dollar amount and that 26.4436% should be multiplied by the balance on hand at the time the
- disbursement is made?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Revised Exhibit E to take Mr. Gent off?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And you've added his to Exhibit EE?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** We have.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And you have provided an affidavit of mailing that shows that Mr. Gent got his
- 25 mail?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And then let's just skip ahead to the coal. You've provided a certification of
- 2 notice of Buchanan Coal Company got their mail, as a coal owner?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And did they respond within the 45 days?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** They did not.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay, going back to Exhibit J, you did a reconciliation?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And first of all, did you look for all the checks that the operator issued to an
- 9 escrow agent and then did you look for a corresponding deposit?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: When you did that, were you able to find one?
- 12 Anita Duty: We found all of them with the exception of the August 2006 and the September
- 13 2006.
- 14 Mark Swartz: So you had checks that you had written but that didn't wind up being booked in
- this account, as far as you could tell?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And when you did your math then, what amount did you come up with and what
- amount did the bank, and did the bank come up with a different amount?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** The bank has \$1,067.88 more.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Than your calculations?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** Questions from the Board? Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 24 Mark Swartz: No.
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 26 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.

- 1 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll second that.
- 2 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 3 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 4 **Board:** Yes.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.

7 <u>Item Number 13</u>

- 8 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for (1) the disbursement of
- 9 escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 2, 3,
- and a portion of Tract 6, as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin
- paying royalties directly to the parties listed in the petition. Docket Number VGOB 05-1213-
- 12 1547-02. All parties wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us, please.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And you are here today on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC. Correct?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 **Mark Swartz:** And we are dealing with BK115?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And we're asking for a disbursement for a direct pay and dismissal of some coal
- 22 owners?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And the factual basis is: once again, we have some people that are now gas
- claimants under the act that are eligible to be paid; we've given noticed to the, previously given
- 26 notice to the coal owners who are previously dismissed, right?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And we're seeking to disburse to these folks and pay them directly in the future?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: You've provided the Board with a Table 1?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: It identifies the three tracts or portions, two tracts and a portion of another tract
- 6 that are going to be disbursed?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Do you identify, by name, the people and/or companies that are to receive a
- 9 payment?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Have you provided an address for each one?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And have you provided a percentage for each one, that the escrow agent is to use
- in calculating the dollar amount to be disbursed?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And that percentage is in the second column from the right-hand side on the line
- that each person appears?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay, and that's directions to the escrow agent, with regard to the disbursement?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: We're going to continue to need escrow, so we have an Exhibit E but you have
- removed the folks who are going to receive this disbursement from that?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And you've put them on pay on your revised Exhibit EE?
- 25 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And we're asking to be able to pay them, going forward?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** We are.
- 2 Mark Swartz: You have provided your certification of notice, with regard to the payees; they all
- 3 signed for their mail?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 **Mark Swartz:** And we have an Exhibit J, correct?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And did you identify the royalty payment checks that were sent to an escrow
- 8 agent and then look for a deposit?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Did you find one for each?
- 11 Anita Duty: We did not find the deposit being credited to the bank in August, in September of
- 12 2006.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And what were those amounts?
- **Anita Duty:** \$138.21 and \$556.24, which totals \$694.45.
- 15 Mark Swartz: You couldn't find it?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** We couldn't find it.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And then when you did your math and compared it to the bank balance as of June
- 18 2016, what was the difference?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** The bank was actually short \$701.00.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Compared to your calculations?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay, and your assumption is that those deposits may be a contributing factor to
- 23 that, right?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And you noticed Swords Creek Coal and they sent for their mail?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And that was over a year ago and you don't have a response from them, either of
- 2 an agreement or a proceeding?
- 3 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And they should be dismissed?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 **Mark Swartz:** That's all I have.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 8 Mary Quillen: Just one question, on that...shoot. Forget it
- 9 **Mark Swartz:** That happens to me too.
- Mary Quillen: I remembered what it was when you said it. I looked at it and it's gone now.
- 11 **Donnie Ratliff:** Since that's gone, Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to approve as presented.
- 12 **Donnie Rife:** I'll second that.
- Butch Lambert: I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? All in favor,
- signify by saying yes.
- 15 **Board:** Yes.
- 16 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** Lunches are here and Mr. Cooper, what time is your next hearing start?
- 18 **Rick Cooper:** 2 o'clock.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** I'm going to suggest that we break right now and take a 30 minute lunch, if we
- 20 could, and get right back at it so Mr. Cooper can make his 2 o'clock.
- 21 <u>Item Number 14</u>
- 22 **Butch Lambert**: A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for 1) the disbursement of escrowed
- funds heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent, attributable to Tracts 1P, 2B & 3C,
- as depicted upon the annexed table; and (2) authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the
- parties listed in the petition. Docket Number VGOB 08-0318-2163-02. All parties wishing to
- testify, please come forward.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.

- 1 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us again.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And you are here today on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And we're hear with regard to AW133?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** We are.
- 8 Mark Swartz: We're requesting a disbursement; authorizations for direct pay; and dismissal of
- 9 some coal owners, right?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: The reason we're making this request is we have some royalty split agreements
- and we have some gas claimants under the Virginia Gas and Oil Act, right?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And you have given notices to, previously given notices to coal owners who were
- dismissed in a prior hearing, right?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: So we haven't done that again.
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Right.
- 19 Mark Swartz: If we go to Table 1, which is my Page 85, is this your, would this be your
- 20 instructions that the Board should transmit to the escrow agent to make the disbursements?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And have you identified the tract?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And have you for each disbursement, given the name of a company or a person
- and their address?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And for each person or company, have you set forth a percentage that the escrow
- 2 agent should use when calculating the dollar amount?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And the escrow agent should use that percentage; apply it to the balance on hand
- on the date of the disbursement; and that percentage, on the second column to the right, will
- 6 generate the number?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay, and have you revised Exhibit E to extract the people that we're going to be
- 9 paying?
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And then we've asked to be able to pay them directly in the future, so have you
- added them to a revised Exhibit EE?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Mailing here, we have, I think you mailed to five people and companies and
- everybody received their mail?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: We've got an Exhibit J. Once again, did you accumulate a list of all of your
- 18 checks that you sent and did you compare those to deposit records and see if there was a deposit
- 19 for everything?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And when you did that, was there a difference?
- 22 Anita Duty: All of the checks were accounted for but there was still a difference in the total
- 23 balance.
- 24 Mark Swartz: So you found all checks as deposits?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: But there was a difference in your calculations as to the estimated balance and the
- banks, in April?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: What was the difference?
- 2 Anita Duty: The bank was showing \$48.75 more.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And we had previously noticed the coal owners in September of Coal Creek Coal
- 4 Company in September 2015, right?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And we mailed to them on that date, and have you gotten anything back from
- 7 them?
- 8 Anita Duty: No.
- 9 Mark Swartz: In terms of evidence?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** No, we haven't.
- 11 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Do I have a motion?
- 13 **Donnie Rife:** Motion made for approval, Mr. Chair.
- 14 **Donnie Ratliff:** Second.
- Butch Lambert: I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? All in favor,
- signify by saying yes.
- 17 **Board:** Yes.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response]. Thank you, Mark. That's approved.
- 19 <u>Item Number 15</u>
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling under Oakwood
- 21 Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. Unit T55. Docket Number VGOB 16-0920-4096. All parties
- wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty. We've got some revised Exhibits, I think, right?
- 24 Anita Duty: Did you look at these? They have been loaded.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Anita, this is a pooling application, correct?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And we're seeking to pool interest in Unit T55?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And I think that's an Oakwood unit, correct?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 5 Mark Swartz: The plat, it's the Oakwood field, and how many acres?
- 6 Anita Duty: 80.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay, and I think the plat shows the well.
- 8 **Anita Duty:** There's a new plat.
- 9 Mark Swartz: There's a new plat. Okay.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** This one.
- 11 Mark Swartz: The new plat would be in the revised Exhibits you just got. And the new plat
- shows T55A in the drilling window, right?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay, and it shows T55 in the upper Northwest corner, just outside the drilling
- 15 unit?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And that's the locations and you've filed the Exhibit today?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay. As long as we're talking about the revisions, you do have an Exhibit B2,
- 20 correct?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And that is something that we normally use to dismiss someone?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And who are we asking to be dismissed?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Raymond Nelson.
- 26 **Mark Swartz:** And the reason for that?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** It's leased.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Okay, so we subsequently obtained a lease from him?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And, did you revise Exhibit B3 accordingly?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay. This unit, we were talking about, it's in Oakwood 80, what did you do to
- 7 give notice that there was going to be a hearing today?
- 8 Anita Duty: We mailed, certified mail return-receipt requested, on August 19, 2016; published
- 9 the notice and location map in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on August 24th.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And you're, presumably, because of B2, at Paragraph 7, you have the two
- 11 response, correct?
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Mr. Nelson and the Commonwealth?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And you're asking, I assume, that the Board dismiss Mr. Nelson as a respondent,
- so that the only respondent party would be the Commonwealth?
- 17 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 18 **Mark Swartz:** And did they have a title conflict?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 20 Mark Swartz: They do not?
- 21 Anita Duty: No. Well, let me check. I'm not sure. Yeah, they do.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. So there is a title conflict between the fellow you just leased and the
- 23 Commonwealth?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** And what's the acreage?
- 26 Anita Duty: 0.22 acres.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And the percentage in the unit?

- 1 **Anita Duty:** 0.275.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Is this well drilled?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And the permit number, do you have that? Or permit numbers?
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** EA 081.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay. When you got the permit, did you think it was 100% leased?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And you subsequently determined that we had this outstanding interest of
- 9 0.02750%
- 10 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And you immediately moved to pool?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay. We've subtracted Mr. Nelson, do you want to add anybody as a respondent
- 14 today?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And you've indicated what the outstanding interest is, so can we assume that
- 17 you've got over 99% owned or leased?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** We do.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay. And have you provided an Exhibit B, with regard to ownership?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And have you provided an Exhibit B3, which you've revised, to show the
- 22 Commonwealth as the only respondent and then in Exhibit E, I imagining, to show the title
- 23 conflict?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: With regard to the wells and well cost estimates, how many wells are proposed or
- are going to be in this unit?
- 27 Anita Duty: Two.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And we talked about their locations. Correct?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And could you go over the well cost estimates that you've provided? Just in
- 4 terms of total and so forth.
- 5 Anita Duty: For T55, the estimated cost is \$360,420.00. The estimated depth of 2,600 feet.
- 6 T55A, estimated cost \$384,420.00 with an estimated depth of 2,600 feet.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Both of these proposed to be frack wells or are they frack wells?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And you noticed, in terms of mailing, you mailed to Mr. Nelson and you mailed
- to the VDOT, correct?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And they signed for their mail?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** They did.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And then you also, in your certificate of notice, you showed that you mailed to a
- 15 number of coal owners?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And you did that because of the requirement of the Act?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And we want to make sure there's a Finding of Fact in this order, pooling this
- 20 unit that you mailed to the coal owners and that you gave them an opportunity to, you know, a
- 21 45-day opportunity to present evidence. Correct?
- 22 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And in that 45-day period, have you received any evidence of either an
- 24 agreement or a lawsuit?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** No.
- Mark Swartz: And to the extent that time hasn't quite run yet, oh, it's the date of the hearing.
- Let's look at that. So you mailed August 19th to these owners and you notified them of the
- hearing today? Does the letter tell the coal owners that they are to show up today, no later than
- 29 today, with the information?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: So they were on notice of the hearing and that they needed to be here today?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** They were.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Okay, and obviously, they're not here and you didn't receive anything in the
- 5 mail?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And I think that's it.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** You finished, Mr. Swartz?
- 9 Mark Swartz: Yes.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- Donnie Ratliff: Mr. Swartz, under the tract identification, there's a paragraph, and it may have
- already been there, always been there and I'd just never seen it before, it says "this title block is
- for general information purposes only. Does not reflect an analysis of severance deed and
- reflects no coalbed methane ownership and should not be relied on for such purpose." But we've
- got a certified map. It's stamped. What's that?
- 16 **Mark Swartz:** What, tell me where...
- Donnie Ratliff: It's at the bottom of both pages under, just after the plat.
- 18 **Mark Swartz:** Oh, okay. In the tract IDs? Okay.
- 19 **Donnie Ratliff:** Has that always been there?
- 20 Mark Swartz: Yeah, well, it's been there for years.
- 21 **Anita Duty:** I think the purpose, as far as my understanding, is we didn't want anybody to think
- 22 we were trying to determine who owned coalbed methane, like if there was a specific
- conveyance of CBM or oil and gas or something. We didn't want anybody to take from what we
- 24 were showing, reflecting as ownership as a determination of anything. Determining ownership of
- 25 CBM or...
- 26 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Pre House Bill 2058 protection.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Well, it's more than that. That was the biggest problem because we were looking
- at leasing CBM and who might have it and we didn't want to indicate we were calling the
- 29 conflict.

- 1 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.
- 2 Mark Swartz: But then there's the further problem, we have done our homework but it's our
- 3 homework.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Exactly.
- 6 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Well, I guess the question the Board really has, and the Board can correct
- 7 me if I'm wrong, is how's the Board to accept the petition if what you're providing is something
- 8 they should be able to rely upon and granting the petition while at the same time, petition to pay
- 9 something that says you can't use what we're providing you cause it may not be accurate?
- 10 Mark Swartz: No, we're saying its effect upon Coalbed Methane ownership, okay? We have a
- 11 statute that now determines.
- 12 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 13 Mark Swartz: So what we're saying is we've identified the oil and gas owners; we've identified
- the coal owners, we've notified all of them; and we're asking you to pay these Coalbed Methane
- Owners because they're the gas claimants under the Act. So I guess it's, we're not saying our
- title says that, we're saying the Act says that.
- 17 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Gotcha.
- 18 **Bruce Prather:** I think you're also certifying the plat by virtue of...
- 19 Mark Swartz: We are certifying the plat, it's the title information that's the tricky part here. You
- 20 know, we are not saying that we have made a determination of Coalbed Methane ownership
- 21 independent of the statute.
- 22 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: And that's the limitation of what you provided?
- 23 Mark Swartz: That's why we've always put that in. We didn't have a statute and we weren't
- 24 going...
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, I understand now.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Now that we have the statute, it's not because we've determined, it's because the
- statute. If we know the coal owner and we know the gas owner...
- 28 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Let me say this: the information you provided was accurate, but you're not
- 29 making any calls with respect to who owns the Coalbed Methane?
- 30 Mark Swartz: Correct.

- 1 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Even so, 45.1361.22 Column 2, gives us the answer.
- 2 Mark Swartz: It says that if you have gas claimants, and it says who they are
- 3 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Its oil and gas, and you have coal claimants, and you [Indiscernible 17:16] to the
- 5 coal claimants and say, "what have you got?"...
- 6 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Right.
- 7 Mark Swartz: You understand. That's what we're saying.
- 8 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right. Okay.
- 9 Mark Swartz: We've identified those players. Cause the problem is generally we're looking at a
- deed that says you own oil and gas, not that you own oil and gas, and Coalbed Methane.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 12 Mark Swartz: The statute solves that.
- 13 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Got it. It's council's advice that you find the procedure of that statement in
- there. Council would also recommend maybe revising the statement slightly so that it's not so
- 15 general in its application for future use.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Well, I've argued with my kind about this already. Okay. They want a belt and
- suspenders and I was saying just wear a belt, but, I've already lost that argument. But, I'll talk to
- them again.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Could you put something in their subject too?
- 20 Mark Swartz: I'll think about it. I understand what's you're suggesting and we'll talk.
- 21 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: And that's part of why I wanted it on the record here too, because I want
- 22 anybody who would come in later and look at this to say, "okay, what CNX is providing the
- Board in the petition is accurate, but out of an abundance of caution, CNX is"...
- 24 Mark Swartz: Let me just point out to you how I read it, which may not be how you guys read
- 25 it, but I will certainly talk to my client about it, but it says, "it does not reflect an analysis of the
- severance deed and its effect upon Coalbed Methane ownership", so I mean, I think it is, it's for
- 27 general informational purposes but then it tells you what it doesn't do. So I think it's kind of
- 28 specific.
- 29 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I'd like to apologize see, because my computer is on the fritz, so I don't
- have the exact lineage in front of me. I read it over the Chairman's shoulder and I'm just kind of
- operating by my general impression.

- 1 Mark Swartz: But, you know, the first thing I can understand your concern, well, I'll read the
- 2 whole thing. "This title block is for general informational purposes only", which I assume is
- 3 what you're talking about.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And then it says, "And does not reflect an analysis of the severance deed and its
- 6 effect upon Coalbed Methane ownership and should not be relied on for such purpose." So I
- 7 think, the first part of the sentence qualified...
- 8 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: The first part's the hang-up.
- 9 Mark Swartz: pretty specific, I think. But I'll talk to my troops about it.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: The second part, council doesn't have any concern with, as a matter of
- 11 fact, if it was just that...
- 12 Mark Swartz: I hear ya.
- 13 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: But to say that it is for general information purposes only, when it's being
- presented to the Oil and Gas Board for determination on disbursing royalties, that's a little bit
- more than general information that's being relied on for.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Let me just suggest one more thing, which is part of where we're coming from,
- as long as we're having this discussion. You know, there's a whole bunch of et al's in this tract
- 18 ID.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Sure.
- 20 Mark Swartz: So, I mean, it is general. You know, it's like...
- 21 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: General is a malleable adjective.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Well, Linda K. Patten, et al, gas; Billy Charles Patton, et al, you know, it talks
- about 699 acre tracts but we're talking about an 80 acre unit, there's a lot of information in here
- 24 that is really not specific enough. People should be careful, you know, when you're saying et al
- or et ux.
- 26 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Anyway, I just wanted to make that observation. That we don't really identify,
- we don't reproduce our title in this tract ID.
- 29 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Sure.

- 1 Mark Swartz: That being said, I'll talk to my troops, see if we can tighten that language up a
- 2 little bit because I think the message we really do want to send is we are not solving that
- 3 problem, the statutes are.
- 4 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right. But in presenting the petition to the Board, you're representing to
- 5 the Board that the disbursement is appropriate, based on the information that you have.
- 6 Mark Swartz: That's a different thing. Our Exhibit E and Exhibit EE is title driven and its way
- 7 more specific than our tract ID's.
- 8 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Is this statement limited to tract ID's?
- 9 Mark Swartz: Yeah, it's the only place that it appears.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Okay, I get it now. Okay. I understand. No, but our Exhibit E and Exhibit EE,
- those are title driven, those numbers are precise, every person is listed on those and that's not on
- 13 us
- 14 **Paul Kugelman:** Thank you and I apologize for asking that question...
- 15 Mark Swartz: No, that's okay. Well, I really didn't understand.
- 16 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: That's the nut we were looking to crack.
- 17 Mark Swartz: We're not telling you, rely on this but we don't stand behind it. No, we stand.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Exhibit E and EE are what answer the question that we're talking about.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 **Butch Lambert:** Okay, any other questions from the Board? [No response] Do I have a motion?
- 21 **Rick Cooper:** I guess the only thing I'd like to point out...
- 22 Mark Swartz: Oh, here we go [laughs]
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** Is that I would encourage that CNX Board group work with the production group
- because this wells been producing for three months and you're supposed to pool it before you
- 25 produce it.
- 26 **Anita Duty:** I addressed that with Anita in the beginning.
- 27 **Donnie Rife:** So it's been producing before it was pooled?
- 28 Mark Swartz: I asked her when this well was drilled, what was the permitting people's
- 29 understanding of title and they thought it was 100% leased. I mean, I asked her that right away.

- **Donnie Rife:** Why do we have so many smoke and mirrors on this thing?
- 2 Mark Swartz: Say what?
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Why are there so many smoke and mirrors on this? You've not got anybody
- 4 identified, been producing three months before it was pooled, and you just showed us a
- 5 plat...huh?
- 6 Mark Swartz: We permitted the well and at the time we permitted it, we thought it was 100%
- 7 leased. We thought it was a voluntary unit. As soon as we found out we had this little tiny
- 8 interest, we filed a pooling. We know we're not supposed to do that.
- 9 **Donnie Rife:** Well, how convenient.
- 10 **Bruce Prather:** It happens, that's all I'll say.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Well, it doesn't happen often.
- 12 **Bruce Prather:** But it happens.
- 13 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: As the entity that has the ownership interest that gave rise to requiring the
- requirement of forced pooling been damaged in any way?
- 15 Mark Swartz: Well, it's VDOT. Maybe.
- Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Doesn't mean it's not subject to damage, sir.
- 17 Mark Swartz: No.
- **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I mean I get that it, but still, never mind. I'm just trying to give CNX an
- 19 opportunity to come in and say, you know what, this is out of the ordinary course of business.
- Yes, we didn't catch this and yes, we responded appropriately. Which I'm not getting and that's
- 21 a little bit disappointing. And then after that, I'm asking if the person or the entity is now being
- forced pooled, was damaged in any way and I can't get a no to that.
- 23 Mark Swartz: The problem is, we have, the people that were going to be force pooled have
- since got a lease from one of the people, okay?
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Which I think is evidence of we actually care and pay attention and tried, when
- we found this claim, to try to get a lease.
- 28 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Sure.
- 29 Mark Swartz: The person we don't have a lease from and the only person affected by this is
- VDOT and they are in conflict with the guy, Nelson that we got the lease from, so I don't even

- 1 know at this point there's a title conflict, we don't know who owns it. So the answer to your
- 2 question about VDOT, VDOT may turn out to not have an interest. No that doesn't mean we
- 3 should drill wells, you know, if we have an outstanding interest, then they need to be pooled.
- 4 That's a completely different issue.
- 5 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: If there's a conflict of the ownership interest though, doesn't that take
- 6 those royalties and require them to the escrow?
- 7 Mark Swartz: We didn't know about this outstanding interest at the time.
- 8 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right. Oh, I see.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Have any royalties been paid on production?
- 10 Anita Duty: The royalties are paid two months behind so it would probably be, the first payment
- would be this month, probably.
- 12 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: I think that's easy to fix.
- 13 Mary Quillen: Did you get a lease from this Nelson, is that what you said?
- 14 Mark Swartz: I think we have a lease from Mr. Nelson.
- 15 Mary Quillen: And Mr. Nelson was under the impression that he owned it and CNX was under
- the impression that he owned it?
- 17 **Donnie Rife:** VDOT, I think it was.
- 18 Mark Swartz: The interest turned up after the permit.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It was always showing on the permit.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay. I just wanted to make sure.
- 21 Anita Duty: And now it's an or with VDOT. Well, it always was an or with VDOT. It didn't get
- recognized that that needed to be pulled.
- 23 Mary Quillen: So how did VDOT get involved in this? Did you have some documentation or
- 24 something?
- 25 Anita Duty: My understanding is that whenever there is an or, like there is a, say Raymond
- Nelson or VDOT, there is a, I guess a, I'm trying to think of the word. In one section of the deed,
- 27 they used the word convey and in the other section of the deed, they used right-of-way. So those
- 28 two conflicting languages cause...
- 29 Mary Quillen: Oh, so this develops from the conveyance highway right-of...

- 1 Anita Duty: Right-of-ways...
- 2 Mary Quillen: Okay. Now I understand how they got involved in it. So they are saying they
- 3 owned it when the deed says conveyed?
- 4 Anita Duty: The deed has conflicting language, because it says convey in one section and it says
- 5 right-of-way in another. So is it a conveyance or is it a right-of-way. So that's why we show
- 6 those as or's.
- 7 **Mary Quillen:** Okay. Okay. I see now.
- 8 **Donnie Rife:** If this is with VDOT, it's right-of-ways.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Not necessarily.
- 10 Anita Duty: But the language in the deed says convey, and whenever it say convey, that means
- 11 you actually deed the property away; and then in another section of the deed, the same deed, it
- says right-of-way. So the conflicting language is what causes us to put it in as an or.
- 13 Mark Swartz: We pay royalties regularly for VDOT. There are royalties that they have an oil
- and gas interest under it and that we, it's been determined... I mean, because these things that are,
- there are a ton of these conveyances, and some of them, they're determined to have the oil and
- gas ownership and a lot of them they are not. And then there's like this, where you don't know.
- 17 Mary Quillen: Okay, so, so you have to get...
- 18 Mark Swartz: What happened here, when this was permitted by Jerry and Bev and State, they
- thought it was 100% leased and they were wrong. This little piece of, whatever it is, 50% was
- 20 not leased. We went out and got a lease from Nelson, we can't get a lease from VDOT and that's
- 21 not a bad thing, we just don't do that. We wind up with forced pooling. So that's what happened.
- 22 And it was permitted and went in production in June and here we are in September. There may
- have been royalty checks gone out.
- 24 Anita Duty: The first checks wouldn't go out until, like, this month. Like next week.
- 25 Mark Swartz: But it's been producing. I mean, you know, it happens, and it shouldn't have,
- 26 but...
- 27 Mary Quillen: You were operating on the best available information that you had.
- 28 Mark Swartz: They made a mistake. I mean, they were wrong. It wasn't 100% leased, I mean
- there's no way around that.
- 30 **Bruce Prather:** Whoever abstracting your contract made a mistake.

- 1 Mark Swartz: I don't know if our title people had the right answer and our permitting people
- 2 had the wrong answer or what it was, but somebody made a mistake and it shouldn't have
- 3 happened. I mean, it's not the first time it's happened. It doesn't happen very often. I've been
- 4 doing this for a long time, but it happens every now and then.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** We've seen it before.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And you know, we're not happy about it but we are here pooling instead of trying
- 7 to hide from it.
- 8 **Bruce Prather:** A lot of these things, these people say they have the interest because they had
- 9 the interest prior to the road going in there, and so they say we own it all and they don't realize
- when they signed the right-of-way agreement with VDOT, they gave away their rights to the
- 11 minerals under that road.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Except here. Mr. Nelson probably thinks he has a claim and VDOT is oblivious, I
- mean, we're the ones that caused the problem because we looked at the title. I'm sure he, you
- know the guy get a lease from, thinks he owns it. Because he's thinking, all I gave them was a
- right of way for this road and I should have that, but you know, when we look at the instrument
- then we're concerned with VDOT's [Indiscernible 30:02] which is why we're here. If we didn't
- think VDOT had a claim, we wouldn't be here. We've got a lease from him now. But it was
- clearly a mistake at that point, by someone, because we had a tiny, outstanding interest that we
- 19 should have dealt with.
- 20 **Bruce Prather:** Okay. Any other questions from the Board? Mr. Ratliff?
- 21 <u>Donnie Ratliff:</u> Under the lease though, it's pooling the Oakwood Coalbed Methane unit, but
- one of the wells is outside the window, the drilling window.
- 23 Mark Swartz: There's a distance requirement and the Oakwood rules allow Mr. Cooper to give
- us exception automatically so we don't have to come through you all.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** And the exception, I think that was granted under the permitting.
- 26 **Rick Cooper:** That would be granted under the permitting process when T55, is it A? When that
- permit is submitted. When that application is submitted.
- 28 **Anita Duty:** It should be on the...
- 29 Mark Swartz: It's on the revised plat. The Oakwood field rules a specific authorization for...the
- original Oakwood field has a revision that allows the DGO to do that. There are some instances
- 31 where we have to come in front of the Board because we don't have that, but the Oakwood...if
- 32 it's okay with him.
- 33 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.

- 1 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Do I have a motion?
- 2 **<u>Donnie Ratliff:</u>** Motion to approve.
- 3 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussions? [No response]
- 5 All in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 6 **Board:** Yes.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 8 <u>Item Number 16</u>
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company, LLC, for pooling under Oakwood
- 10 Coalbed Methane Gas Field I. Unit T54. Docket Number VGOB 16-0920-4097. All parties
- wishing to testify, please come forward.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 13 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Anita, state your name for us, please.
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Are you here on behalf of CNX Gas Company, LLC, on this pooling?
- 17 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And we did this before but let's go through it again. CNX Gas Company is a
- 19 Virginia Limited Liability Company?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Authorized to do business in the state?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Registered with DMME and the DGO?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> And they have a blanket bond on file with regard to their well operations?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Did you notify people by any means that we were going to have a hearing today?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: How did you do that?
- Anita Duty: We mailed by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested on August 19, 2016 and
- 4 published the notice and location map in the Bluefield Daily Telegraph on August 24, 2016.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And do you want to add any people to the list of folks that are going to be
- 6 respondents that are set forth in the application or the notice?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Do you want to dismiss any of them?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** We did have a revision but I think it's loaded to E-Forms. We dismissed Grat Cole.
- 10 Mark Swartz: So the last person in Paragraph 7 would have been the dismissal and it was
- loaded in E-Forms because I pulled it off.
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 13 Mark Swartz: I have to find that page. Let's see if the Board members have it. It think it is, it's
- Page 20 of 40 of my pdf and it's an Exhibit B2 and it references Tract 1L and it's a dismissal of
- Grat B. Cole. 0.05 acres. His interest in unit and it's because he was leased. So it did get
- 16 uploaded.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Other than Mr. Cole, do you want to dismiss anybody else?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 20 Mark Swartz: What kind of unit is this?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Oakwood 80.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay, how many wells are proposed?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Two.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And are they both going to be fracked wells?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Have they been permitted?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** I don't think so.

- 1 **Rick Cooper:** No, this is the one we're having the hearing on this afternoon.
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Okay.
- 3 Mark Swartz: I was going to see if you would go there because I knew that. [Laughs] Okay.
- 4 Have you provided well cost estimate information?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: For both of the wells?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And as we previously discussed, one of them is within, let me look at that here.
- 9 This one we've got, in the revised plat, that's the T54A as in the drilling window in sort of the
- Southeast corner and the other well, which is T54 is to the North of the drilling window but still
- within the window, and again to the East. Correct?
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: And I previously asked you, but just to reconfirm, they are frack wells?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** They are.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And, what percentage are you seeking to pool and with reverse math, what have
- we acquired to move forward?
- Anita Duty: We're seeking to pool 15.0625% and we have leased 84.9375%.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Collectively our respondents have that percentage?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And have you provided an Exhibit B, which lists all the owners?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> We've already talked about the Exhibit B2, which dismisses Mr. Cole?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And we would have an Exhibit B3, which identifies all the people you are
- 25 seeking to force pool?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And their interest in the unit?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: With regard to the well cost, could you tell the Board what you're well cost
- 3 estimates indicate, with regard to these two proposed wells?
- 4 Anita Duty: For Well T55, the estimated cost is \$389,080.00. The estimated depth is 2,600 feet.
- 5 T55A is \$383,080.00 with an estimated depth of 2,600 feet.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And is there an escrow requirement?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And it's because of a title conflict?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And have you provided an Exhibit B, with regard to that?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Did you mail, well you've already talked about that. You mailed and published,
- 13 correct?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Did you also mail to potential conflicting coal owners?
- 16 **Rick Cooper:** We did.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay. And have you provided your certificates with regard to them?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And did they all either sign for their mail or have it hand delivered to them?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And did you indicate to them in the mailing that they needed to either come today
- or provide evidence before today, of either an agreement or some kind of litigation proceeding?
- 23 Anita Duty: We did.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And have you received anything?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And are you asking that the Board make that factual determination in its order
- and dismiss these people as conflicting claimants?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Or determine that they're not conflicting claimants?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And is it your opinion that drilling two frack wells in this unit and the locations
- 5 that they're planned within the drilling window is a reasonable development plan for this
- 6 Coalbed Methane Unit?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: And is it your opinion that based on the title work and saying acquisition efforts
- 9 of the operator, that if you combine the interest that you've acquired by lease or ownership with
- the pooling order, the pooling order described in the pleadings here today, that the correlative
- rights of the people having claims in this unit will be protected.
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 13 **Mark Swartz:** That's all I have.
- 14 **Butch Lambert:** Ms. Duty, just to correct one thing, I think you are testifying that the well
- numbers were T55. I believe we were talking about T54 and T54A.
- Anita Duty: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't, they're T54 and T54A.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** What's the difference in your two plats, other than one not showing T54A?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** That's it.
- 19 **Butch Lambert:** That's it?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes, we included two costs. We wanted to make sure the plats matched.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** That's the only difference I could find.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yeah, that's it.
- **Butch Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 24 Swartz?
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** No.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 27 **Donnie Ratliff:** Motion to approve.
- 28 **Donnie Rife:** Second, Mr. Chair.

- 1 Butch Lambert: I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- 2 in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 3 **Board:** Yes.
- 4 <u>Butch Lambert:</u> Opposed, no [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That one is approved.
- 5 <u>Item Number 20</u>
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** A petition from CNX Gas Company for prior to provide the Board explanation
- 7 their extension request for wells identified in the large handout that was given.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Well this is...the handouts are getting smaller [laughs].
- 9 **Butch Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Is there a recap? I don't have a lot to tell you, at least about this, but we kind of
- recap where we were and where we are today and then let you, sort of, digest it. We just get
- some other things but let's sort of take it in pieces. When we were here in June, oaky, we had a
- really lengthy spreadsheet, which addressed 450 some units. We had a book that addressed that,
- and then we asked for some extensions, with regard to two different kinds of units, and we asked
- for a 60-dayextension, with regard to certain units and we asked for 90-day extension, with
- regard to certain other units. And what I've done, although his has been delayed and I think we
- missed an August meeting, if I'm not mistaken, but what I've done, is I have taken the extension
- units, the two groups of extension units from July 21st through, actually Friday, possibly
- Monday. Because if they're less we can kind of snapshot them a little better, to tell you where we
- are and what, if any, further assistance we need. If you look at the blue thing, which is the 60-
- 21 days, yes, what we have done is we have listed by identification, unit identification, the 45 units
- and there's a summary at the end and I've got a tract summary but I also have a unit summary.
- You know, how many units are in the different columns, and so we had 45 units in this 60-day
- extension category. The first column is we've completely filed and are done with 5 of those
- units. Okay? In the time that's passed since the 21st. Then the next column is we were asking to
- 26 try to allow some additional time to pay people that we felt like we could get paid. And so the
- 27 next column is, we partially filed applications for petitions for disbursement and we've got a
- fairly number of those and we filed disbursement requests for 32 tracts, partial. Effecting 26 of
- 29 45 units. Then we continued to have issues, with regard to not getting information back, like the
- W9's and other things, you know, affidavits from gas claimants, and this is the summary of, and
- 31 you will notice in a number of these, we have actually partially filed a tract. Like, for example,
- take AX99, you know, we've partially filed that but we weren't able to file other pieces of it
- because we don't W9's or other information back, so we identified how many tracts and how
- many units of these 45 that continue to be a problem and there's 30 units that you've got the
- we'll come back information that's preventing us from moving forward. Then we've got a gas
- 36 title conflict and as you'll recall we had several of those today, that's something where there
- either needs to be a lawsuit or there is a lawsuit and it's not resolved and in this 45 unit category,

- 1 we've got that column and that totals three tracts and three different units, but you know, we
- 2 haven't been able to deal with. Unknown gas claimants, we have two tracks in unresolved
- 3 heirship at an impasse devolve that we had, although we tried to separate the heirships out when
- 4 we were here in June, turned out that we had a couple of heirships that we couldn't resolve in
- 5 the 45/60 day extension; 45 unit, 60 day extension category. There's two units, two tracts there.
- 6 We did have a situation, AX100, where we had recently resolved an heirship and we were
- 7 hoping to make a disbursement there, and obviously we weren't able to because we have gas
- 8 claimants, AX1C, oh that's the one, I'm going to come back to that. Let's see the second column
- 9 from the right hand side. And then the last column is reasons beyond our control, we're waiting
- for an order or something else. There are eight tracks and seven units. The column where I was
- stumbling around involving AX100, we would like another 60 days. So out of these 45 units, we
- have one situation that we think we can make some further payments, and we would like an
- extension on AX100, but out of the 45 units, we are in a position where we're done with 44 of
- the 45 and we've accomplished what we can. Okay?
- 15 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: When you say done, you mean you've exhausted all possibilities you
- 16 have?
- 17 Mark Swartz: At the moment, at the moment. Because, that's a good point. We're probably,
- well we do continue to get stuff in, and that's happened for 20 years. And as W9's come in, as
- affidavits come in, as people turn up, we are going to continue to file but unless something in the
- 20 control of a third party occurs, we are done.
- 21 Mary Quillen: You wanted an extension for how many days on this one?
- 22 Mark Swartz: Just the one. 60 days.
- 23 **Mary Quillen:** 60 days.
- 24 Mark Swartz: For AX100. And the other ones, we're telling you we have finished for the
- 25 moment. Unless something comes in from a third party, we're done.
- 26 **Mary Quillen:** Okay.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And obviously, not cutting off questions, but, the sort of weird color, okay, that
- 28 involves the heirship units that we asked for additional time on at the status updates of the units
- and tracts for which we had the 90-day extension, and there were 36 units in that groups. And
- 30 this reports what, the same sort of report, the tracts that we were able to completely file, six tracts
- and four units, partially filed three tracts and three units. We've got some gas claimants failing to
- 32 provide information and a handful of four units; gas title conflict, which again is probably a
- litigation issue in four of the units; and then we've concluded that although we continue to work
- 34 these heirships, the ones that are in that column that are X'd, we're at an impasse. We have dead
- ended those heirships. I'm going to come back to the additional 60-day extension. We've got
- second to the last column beyond reason of control, 14 tracts, 6 units, in litigation, which I guess

- 1 is beyond our control as well. It would be one unit in the last column and then out of these 52
- tracts, 36 units, we are asking for a 60-day extension with regard to BC121 and BD122, a total of
- 3 four tracts and my recollection is we need to amend a supplemental order to get the percentages
- 4 right before we can make disbursements. So those 36 units, 52 tracts, the report is, this is what
- 5 we have accomplished. The only thing, at the moment, we can do, in addition to what we've
- 6 already completed is get the supplemental orders squared away on those two units so we can
- 7 make a further disbursement. We're asking for 60-days to do that. So our report, basically, is in a
- 8 nutshell, of the 453 units that we've been working on for a long time, we are now at a point
- 9 where we have a couple of units that we have, which I've just identified, which we would like a
- 10 little bit more time to get a couple more people paid and then as information comes in, we would
- like an acknowledgement that we can operate in the ordinary course, processing stuff as it comes
- in, but that we're don't with this sort of massive undertaking on report.
- 13 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Can I just ask a couple of clarification questions, please? And this is just
- for me and it should take long. What do you mean by track completely filed and track partially
- 15 filed?
- 16 Mark Swartz: 100% of the tract as opposed to, it came out of escrow. It's done.
- 17 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Okay, so these are, a tract completely filed is one where the escrow
- 18 account is closed.
- 19 Mark Swartz: For that tract. It's completely paid out.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: For that tract. Okay.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And it may mean that the unit is zeroed out too.
- 22 Anita Duty: Most of the time it's an heirship tract, so you may be able to pay 10 people but then
- 23 five people didn't send their stuff in, so that's going to be shown as a partially filed.
- 24 Mark Swartz: But the difference is the tract actually got paid in full and disappeared from
- escrow.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** But the tracts that are partially filed, there should be continuing activity. We
- 27 would expect activity on those quicker than we would the other.
- Mark Swartz: One would hope that some of those people, except there are, and we've had this
- 29 discussion before, there are people that have point blank told us, we're never going to get a W9
- from them, so some of the things that we sort of common sense think, you know, would go away,
- 31 maybe it won't, but in general, I think it's reasonable to assume, you know, in that gas claimant
- failed to provide information column in both of these, particularly in the 60-day order, a ton of
- those, we're going to get stuff in, and we're going to process it because we're the operator.
- What? Anita says, every day is something else. And we try to run this through, I know it was
- 35 through Friday but then there were some revisions over the weekend, so I think these two charts

- are pretty much through Monday morning, yesterday. Whereas before, we cut it off because it
- 2 was so big. We cut it off a week or ten days ahead.
- 3 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Any questions or discussions from the Board?
- 4 **Bruce Prather:** Mark, on your 60-day additional on these four, I noticed you don't have any
- 5 other, what their problem are. Are these ones in which you might have legal problems; these four
- 6 that you want the extension on.
- 7 Mark Swartz: No, I think, let me find that.
- 8 **Bruce Prather:** You say you want the extension.
- 9 Mark Swartz: I've got notes on those if you give me one minute, I can give you a more specific
- answer. Did we file BC121 on Friday? Did we miss that?
- 11 Anita Duty: I think that's in E-Forms. I think we fixed that. I think that one's good.
- 12 **Sarah Gilmer:** BD121?
- 13 Mark Swartz: BC121.
- 14 **Sarah Gilmer:** BC121.
- Mark Swartz: Mr. Prather, we filed BC121 on Friday and it looks like we didn't update that.
- We don't actually need that. Okay?
- 17 **Bruce Prather:** Okay.
- 18 Mark Swartz: So that problem we solved. So we're down to BD on the 90-day extension sheet
- and we're asking for 60 more days. The BD122, let me find my notes on that. And that one we
- 20 have done additional heirship work and the heirship has changed from our additional work. And
- 21 the supplemental order that's on file with you all, needs to be revised because the heirship has
- changed, so we can't petition without coming back to the Board to ask for that to be revised so
- 23 we're going to have to file, maybe be able to get away with just filing a supplemental order and
- having it kind of ministerial dealt with but if we have to come back in front of the Board to re-
- pool, we need some time. But that's the reason for that, we've done more work on BD122.
- Anita Duty: And this isn't the only one we've done this with. We've had some recently where
- 27 we filed the supplemental order, our accounting group makes the updates and we make a deposit.
- Sarah recognizes that the deposits been made, then we can file the disbursement. So this is not
- 29 unusual that we need to make those corrections before we can file.
- 30 Mark Swartz: Now the other one, the AX100, let me look and see. Well there are pieces of it
- 31 that are apparently complete but the supplemental orders need to be revised because, I mean,
- that's what the notes telling. So it's the same issue on AX100, the similar issue, we've revolved

- pieces of the heirship but then now it's not consistent with the supplemental that we had
- 2 previously filed so we've got the same issue on AX100 on the other chart.
- 3 Mary Quillen: It seems that the heirship seem to be the ones that are the most difficult. If I'm
- 4 reading this right.
- 5 Mark Swartz: In general, yes. And frankly, the most intractable. I mean there's a bunch of them
- 6 that's just...
- 7 Mary Quillen: Right.
- 8 **Butch Lambert:** Mark, in your estimate, how many of these were the notice issued? Does that
- 9 fall under the reason beyond our control?
- 10 Mark Swartz: No.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** You mean like the coal notice?
- 12 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** No, the notice that not picked up or it's in training. Those kinds of things.
- Anita Duty: No because then if it's on that section, it's not even been filed yet. This is where
- we've not filed any. It's not at that stage.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Any more discussion from the Board? Do we have a motion on the request for
- an extension? We'll break this up into a couple of different things. First of all, we need a motion
- on request for extension on those units identified by Mr. Swartz?
- 18 **Donnie Rife:** Motion for approval on those four units for an extension of 60 days, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 Mark Swartz: We're down to three, aren't we?
- 20 **Donnie Rife:** Five?
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** I think it's three.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Its three now. We're down to three.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** All three of those, you're asking for 60 days?
- 24 Mark Swartz: Say what?
- **Butch Lambert:** All three of those, you're asking for a 60-day extension?
- 26 Mark Swartz: Correct. So that's AX100, we would like a 60-day extension; then the two tracts
- 27 in...
- 28 **Butch Lambert:** BD122?

- 1 Mark Swartz: Then the two tracts in BD122. So it's actually three tracts and two units.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** So I have a motion. Do I have a second?
- 3 **Donnie Ratliff:** Second.
- 4 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Any discussion? All in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 5 **Board:** Yes.
- 6 **Butch Lambert:** I guess the second motion is just to allow CNX to continue normal work on the
- 7 rest that can't be resolved at this point?
- 8 Mark Swartz: That is the request that we're making. That we just continue to operate due
- 9 diligence with regard to that.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: So are you just moving for a general continuance and to bring them up?
- 11 Mark Swartz: I don't think we need a continuance, just an acknowledgement that we're done. I
- think you wanted us to come back to you and say that we have done what we were supposed to
- do and we have done that. Now we're telling you we finished the House Bill housekeeping, with
- regard to the escrow. We're done. And now, we are just going to continue to operate, we'd like
- your permission to continue to operate in the ordinary course as stuff comes in; as we review
- these files and find stuff, we will petition further disbursements but that we're not under some
- deadline to come back do something.
- **Butch Lambert:** Could we ask in our motion just for a quarterly update?
- 19 Mark Swartz: That would certainly be very reasonable. We were going to do an internal review
- 20 on a probably quarterly or monthly basis anyway so that would certainly work. Just kind of
- what's happened.
- 22 **Bruce Prather:** Mark, did that book have anything putting a deadline on when these things
- would be done?
- 24 Mark Swartz: Yes, and that's...
- 25 **Bruce Prather:** If there's something in there that says we can't go beyond a certain period of
- time, then we're going against the law. If that's the case.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Point well taken, but I think what we have tried to do as a company is tried to
- 28 petition before time expired for extensions. You know, and the extensions got fewer and fewer,
- 29 you know, in terms of, so other than the couple of extensions that we've asked for and that
- 30 you've allowed us, we don't need an extension so you're not going to be extending some
- 31 timeframe under the law, except for that specific three tracts that we just talked about. That you
- 32 have the power to do.

- 1 **Bruce Prather:** We're waiting on court decisions.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Well those, there's nothing anybody can do.
- 3 **Bruce Prather:** There's nothing you can do but did they go beyond the step [Inaudible].
- 4 Mark Swartz: There's an exception in the statute, I don't want to spend too much time on that
- 5 but there is an exception in the statute for categories, okay? And these columns in general sort of
- 6 tract the categories that we were allowed to avoid closure, and you were allowed to avoid
- 7 closure, so beyond your reasonable control is actually in the statute. Gas claimant fells to provide
- 8 information is actually, so that's why we categorized it this way. To kind of try to make it fit
- 9 within the statute but I think your question was, "Do we as a Board, are we beyond some time
- 10 limit imposed by the statute?"
- 11 **Bruce Prather:** Yeah, exactly.
- 12 Mark Swartz: My answer to that would be we have always tried to timely ask for extensions
- and addressed those with you all, and I believe we have always come within your authority to do
- that. We're down to one extension involving three tracts and we're not asking for any more
- extensions; we're not asking for blank. We're done. Other than, if you want to get ... I think
- that's just in your general authority. Forget the House Bill, to ask us to come back just like you
- asked the bank to come back, so.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** I think if we allow them just to continue working on, that we would have to
- 19 have an update.
- 20 **Bruce Prather:** Yeah, definitely.
- 21 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> On a quarterly basis, or something.
- 22 **Bruce Prather:** Yeah.
- 23 **Bruce Prather:** So, I'll make that motion.
- 24 **Rick Cooper:** So I've got one question. Do we need to continue these three or four requests for
- 25 60 days and put them back on the docket?
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Three or four requests.
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** Actually, three or four well that they ask a 60 day extension on.
- 28 **Butch Lambert:** We've done voted and give them a 60 day extension.
- 29 **Rick Cooper:** I mean do we need to put it back on the docket?
- 30 **Butch Lambert:** So they'll have to come back in 60 days.

- **Sarah Gilmer:** So they won't be part of the quarterly report?
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** No.
- 3 **Anita Duty:** We won't have filed them.
- 4 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Two different things.
- 5 **Butch Lambert:** No, there's two things we're voting on. One giving them an extension on those
- 6 three tracts they've identified, and the second one that we just made was to allow them to
- 7 continue work on those that they've gone as far as they've gone, but they have to come back for
- 8 reports.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Just to do course operations and come back for a quarterly review and tell you
- what's going on?
- 11 **Butch Lambert:** In other words, we would see these sheets updated or...
- 12 Mark Swartz: Something like that, right. Probably what you would see more than that is, this is
- the good stuff as opposed to, this is what came in since we were last here and what we've done,
- is I think.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Does everyone understand the second motion made by Mr. Ratliff? Okay any
- 16 further discussions now, all in favor, signify by saying yes.
- 17 **Board:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** Opposed, no? [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. I think we've reached...
- 19 Mark Swartz: We have one more thing we need to talk about. Well, and it's on the docket. We
- 20 need to come back with having had a chance to talk probably to your council and Rick, to get a
- 21 format for publication because the statute requires that and I think we need to reach some kind,
- and I don't want it to slip.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: A format for publication?
- 24 Mark Swartz: There's a publication requirement in the statute, now that we're done.
- 25 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Right.
- 26 Mark Swartz: To tell people this is what you need to do.
- 27 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, okay.
- 28 Mark Swartz: So we need, and it's the publication requirement. It's four weeks, it's a lot of
- 29 money, it's a lot information. I think we need, I don't want to just do something and then come

- back to the Board and you look at it and say well why didn't you do this or why didn't you do
- 2 that. I would like to have some dialogue and...
- 3 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Can I make a suggestion?
- 4 Mark Swartz: Sure.
- 5 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: And I'm happy to have the dialogue. I think it may be prudent, because
- 6 we're you know, where council is absent the people parish, but where there's a multitude of
- 7 council, good things happen. Perhaps we have the dialogue, come in with a proposed notice and
- 8 let the Board look at it.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Absolutely, no, that's what I have in mind.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Oh, okay.
- Mark Swartz: No, not that we're going to agree on it but I think you need to put this on, well, I
- would recommend that you do come back on a piece of, which is what Sarah's asking about, you
- know, that we come back on a piece of this docket item that talks about a publication and going
- 14 forward and that we have a dialogue in the meantime so that we come back to you with "this is
- what we have in mind. Do you have heartburn with that?" "Do you think we should do this? Do
- you think we should do that?" Before we go on with it.
- 17 **Butch Lambert:** If you guys want to work it out, then we can put it back on the docket on the
- 18 Board's own motion.
- 19 Mark Swartz: That piece of it. Cool.
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** That was my original question. Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Okay. Just want to make sure we stayed on that.
- 22 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: I'm glad we were able to answer all those questions with a one fell
- 23 response.
- 24 <u>Item Number 21</u>
- 25 **Butch Lambert:** Next item on the agenda is the Board will receive an update from the Board
- and division activity from staff.
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** Couple things I want to bring up. I know it was an issue that we had Evans Energy
- that transferred their wells over to Magnum Hunter and they were backlogged a little bit on
- depositing money into the account. We have finally resolved those issues and there's only two
- 30 Magnum Hunter escrowed accounts and so they have put their money in, we have established the
- accounts and got the accounts caught up so we did get that taken care of. The second issue that I
- had brought up the las Board meeting is the escrow upload with EQT. We can't have all those

- done. We have made some progress on those and we're trying to resolve those issues, but in the
- 2 event we don't make too much progress, they will be here next month and we can talk to them
- 3 personally why we're not getting better response, but their pretty complicated and it's a slow
- 4 grind and just like these we're going over here, they're pretty complicated.
- 5 **<u>Butch Lambert:</u>** Are they going to be here in...
- 6 **Rick Cooper:** They should be here in October.
- 7 **Butch Lambert:** Will they disburse an item or?
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** Well, I've told them that we would talk about this item in addition. They've got
- 9 two disbursements coming up in October.
- 10 **Butch Lambert:** Then I would suggest that if they don't want to appear on this topic on uploads,
- that you put it on the docket in the Board's own motion and we'll deal with it at that time.
- 12 **Rick Cooper:** We will do that. And so I'd also like to report the progress we've been making, so
- since July 1st of last year, we disbursed a little bit over \$7,600,000.00. Which is pretty good and
- since January 2015, it's a little over \$9,500,000.00. So we haven't broken the \$10 million mark
- but we should here in the very near future. I thank everybody's help on that. And a couple of
- other items that we need to bring up that I brought up the last time, is our unfunded accounts.
- 17 Ms. Ketron has worked really hard and we're down to 11 and I think Ms. Duty's ready to speak
- on behalf of those 11 that we haven't resolved at this time, on the unfunded. If we can get those
- 19 squared away, we will do away with that account and I see no reason why we would ever
- 20 establish that.
- 21 **Butch Lambert:** Do you by any chance have this...
- 22 **Rick Cooper:** I do have that. It's hard to read. But, people don't know, years ago, previous
- people, anytime there's an item pooled, they put it on the unfunded until it actually had some
- 24 money to go into it and it got larger than what they could handle and so it got neglected, in all
- 25 honestly, and there were a couple of hundred accounts and Ms. Ketron has worked hard the last
- 26 two years to get them down to where we're at. We're down to the final 11.
- 27 **Paul Kugelman, Jr.**: Wow.
- **Butch Lambert:** And every one of these have had an issue. They've been real hard to resolve
- and Magnum Hunter was two of those. One of those has been setting out there for 12 years that
- 30 we resolved.
- 31 **Butch Lambert:** First of all Rick, lets' start out by what you think you know from the Gas and
- Oil Division. Eleven accounts, shows production, no money in escrow.

- 1 **Rick Cooper:** That is correct.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Ms. Duty?
- 3 Mark Swartz: Well, I'm going to let her talk about of them but most of these, the majority of
- 4 these accounts, I think, were not being escrow because of the \$25.00 rule and some of them may
- 5 have crawled about that and we didn't catch it, but for example, the first one is \$11.64 and there
- 6 is, to give you another example, the third one down should be a zero balance because you can't
- 7 even generate a penny when you run the division interest. The fourth one, I guess it's the fifth
- one, is \$1.04. Don't have a number for the next one, the next one is \$85.20, the next one is \$2.00,
- 9 the next one was \$12.00, the next one was \$30.68 and \$57.58. The two problems as I see it are
- the second one, which is \$613.54 and the fourth one, which is \$2,285.87, and Anita's
- instructions and I'll let her talk about some of these issues, but Anita's instructions to her people
- is to pay these amounts into escrow to the extent that they haven't been paid, they're scheduled
- to be paid in the next round of payments. So that's, in general, my take on this exhibit. Anita, if
- 14 you've got anything to add, obviously, go for it.
- Anita Duty: Well, and I just want to make sure that, not that its' an excuse, but to understand the
- \$25.00. Normally, even if an owner or any entity that we pay, if it doesn't exceed \$25.00, we
- don't make a payment, and that should not be the rule on the escrowed accounts and that's been
- 18 changed and updated, so that's the reason that some of these are there but that's not the reason
- 19 for all of them. But just so you understand, anytime, it doesn't matter if it's an individual owner
- 20 that's getting paid directly, if it doesn't reach \$25.00, we don't make those payments and that
- 21 was the same rule we had here. We've since changed that on these escrowed accounts.
- 22 **Butch Lambert:** So when can Mr. Cooper expect those monies to be paid into the account?
- 23 Anita Duty: All of those, except for the BG121 and the CC30, all of those are being sent for
- processing right now and they should be in the next deposits that come through. And if not this
- 25 month, they will be in the October checks. I'll know for sure, she's supposed to have known for
- sure today. They were trying to process yesterday but it didn't complete, so she should know for
- sure today, but the other two amounts are the BG121 and the CC30, those are the only two that
- we may need till the end, after this processing is done and it may be October.
- 29 **Butch Lambert:** So Rick, your staff will continue to monitor.
- 30 **Rick Cooper:** Yes. We will report next Board meeting.
- 31 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.
- 32 **Anita Duty:** And she will send me verification of the deposits and everything, like, as soon as it
- happens this month and I'll go ahead and send that to you.
- 34 **Butch Lambert:** Okay.

- 1 **Anita Duty:** When it happens.
- 2 **Butch Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 3 Mark Swartz: Just to add something to Anita's account that she left out. This is a large company
- 4 and there's all these departments, okay? And the Royalty Accounting Department wants the
- 5 numbers to be right before they make a deposit and we had finally gotten up high enough, Anita
- and I, high enough in the organization to cause pressure to come down. We don't care if the
- 7 amount is right, it's what you think should be deposited, you need to make the deposit and fix the
- 8 number later, and so they now understand that as well. Okay? So it's not just, you know, the
- 9 \$25.00 rule, we never even thought about that, I mean, and we're like "well, that's why" but it
- shouldn't apply to escrow account. But the other thing is, don't wait to get your numbers right.
- You know, there's a division of interest, you're doing the math, its generating deposit number to
- make the deposit and so these two, the CC30, for example, we are pretty sure that deposit
- amount is going to change with additional title work, okay? But they have been instructed to
- make that deposit because that's the number that we have at the moment and fix it later. So part
- of this issue was, the Accounting Department didn't want to pay "the wrong number", and our
- view is, you need to pay the best number you have and so, I think we've solved that problem. In
- terms of moving forward so it hopefully doesn't happen.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Okay. Just the housecleaning issue and it's aggravating Rick and his staff like
- 19 crazy.
- 20 Mark Swartz: No, but we take this stuff seriously and she was getting no assistance and I had to
- 21 get involved because it's a different department and they don't think it's important. It is
- important to us and to you.
- 23 **Butch Lambert:** It is.
- 24 **Rick Cooper:** Four items of interest. Working with citizen, Mr. Kenneth Osborne, he'd
- requested, and I think I talked to Anita about deposits and W34 and W35.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Is this related to several months ago when we asked you to work directly
- 27 with...
- 28 **Rick Cooper:** Yes, it is. Anita, what's the status of W34 and W35?
- 29 Anita Duty: I have the same issue that I had on some of these other accounts. I know she's
- 30 working through, I think those are supposed to be made this week also, or today. So I can, I sent
- 31 her an email earlier and I haven't had a chance to look to see if she's answered me but I think
- that is resolved with this check run too.
- 33 **Butch Lambert:** Is that the deposit in the accounts that's due the Osbornes and the...

- 1 Rick Cooper: Yep! The W34 and 35 are two accounts that have been, I guess there've been no
- 2 deposit in one of them for two years and no money and one of them for four years and they've
- 3 been producing all along. And those will be made this week?
- 4 Anita Duty: That should be part of what she's doing, working on the check run for today,
- 5 yesterday and today.
- 6 Rick Cooper: But to make that clear, these wells have produced for a good period of time but
- 7 the deposits just stopped two years ago.
- 8 Anita Duty: One time they were stopped because the disbursements were pending and then we
- 9 had issues with, we had the one W34, I don't know which one it was, it was on hold forever and
- they never went ahead and put it back on pay.
- 11 **Rick Cooper:** That's correct. So there's no deposits?
- 12 Anita Duty: Yes. It wasn't that we just stopped. We told them to put it on hold because we had
- filed a disbursement, just like we do with all these. Every time we file disbursements we say, put
- them on hold.
- 15 **Butch Lambert:** Just keep in mind, what we heard this morning from, I think it was Ms. Horne
- that said the Inspector General's Office had been involved. That's part of the...
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** Brenda Justice.
- 18 **Butch Lambert:** Brenda Justice. That's part of the whole issue with the Osborne's and them
- 19 claiming they're not getting paid. That's why the Inspector General's Office is involved so, if we
- 20 could speed that up and get that corrected, that sure would take a lot of pressure off this Board
- 21 and Mr. Cooper.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Hopefully get paid too.
- 23 Anita Duty: Yeah, but I can let Mr. Cooper know for sure. Just like I'll let him know with the all
- the same check runs should have handled the unfunded accounts and the normal monthly
- 25 deposits.
- 26 **Butch Lambert:** Anything further, Rick?
- 27 **Rick Cooper:** Not at this time.
- 28 **Butch Lambert:** If the Board's okay with this, I'd like to throw out the motion that for the
- October meeting, we changed the date from the 18th to the 25th. If the Board's okay since some
- of us has got to be out of town and won't be able to make the meeting and we may not have a
- 31 quorum if we do that.

- **Rita Surrat:** I'm going to be in Richmond the 25th.
- 2 Mary Quillen: Change it to the 25th?
- **Butch Lambert:** 25th.
- **Rick Cooper:** That'd be the fourth Tuesday.
- **Butch Lambert:** Fourth Tuesday.
- 6 Mary Quillen: I believe that's okay with me.
- **Donnie Rife:** I believe I'll be in Atlantic City.
- **Bruce Prather:** I think I've got a doctor's appointment.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll give you an opinion and not charge. [Laughs]
- **Butch Lambert:** We may not have a quorum for the 25th then.
- 11 Paul Kugelman, Jr.: Do you know how may item we have on the October agenda?
- **Butch Lambert:** That's what I was going to ask. Do we know yet? The number of items?
- **Rick Cooper:** We've got more than 15 and they're all this type of situations we've talked about
- 14 today.
- **Butch Lambert:** Okay. If it's okay with the Board then we'll reset the date for the 25th and
- 16 hopefully we'll have a quorum.
- 17 <u>Item Number 22</u>
- **Butch Lambert:** Okay, one final item. The approval of the July minutes.
- **Donnie Rife:** Move to approve the July minutes as presented, Mr. Chair.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll second that, Mr. Chair.
- **Butch Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. All in favor, signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Butch Lambert:** We have a motion to adjourn.